

LIST OF TABLES

Table II. 1 Advantage and disadvantage of (R, Q) system.....	15
Table II. 2 Advantage and disadvantage of (s, S) system	16
Table II. 3 Research comparison.....	18
Table IV. 1 Demand data in retailer Bali	26
Table IV. 2 Demand data in retailer Jember	26
Table IV. 3 Demand data in retailer Lombok	27
Table IV. 4 Demand data in retailer Malang.....	27
Table IV. 5 Demand data in retailer Sampit	27
Table IV. 6 Demand data in retailer Surabaya.....	28
Table IV. 7 Lead time data.....	29
Table IV. 8 Unit price data.....	29
Table IV. 9 Labor cost at retailer Bali.....	30
Table IV. 10 Labor cost at retailer Jember.....	30
Table IV. 11 Labor cost at retailer Lombok.....	31
Table IV. 12 Labor cost at retailer Sampit	31
Table IV. 13 Labor cost at retailer Surabaya	31
Table IV. 14 Building cost of retailer	32
Table IV. 15 Building cost of DC Surabaya	32
Table IV. 16 Facility cost at retailer Bali	33
Table IV. 17 Facility cost at retailer Jember	33
Table IV. 18 Facility cost at retailer Lombok	33
Table IV. 19 Facility cost at retailer Sampit	34
Table IV. 20 Facility cost at DC Surabaya	34
Table IV. 21 Electricity cost	35
Table IV. 22 Holding cost.....	36
Table IV. 23 Order cost.....	37
Table IV. 24 Shortage cost.....	39
Table IV. 25 Variable code of demand	40
Table IV. 26 Result of demand distribution test	49
Table IV. 27 Sample calculation for Q -problem (retailer 2).....	56

Table IV. 28 Sample calculation for Q -problem (retailer 3).....	57
Table IV. 29 Sample calculation for Q -problem (retailer 4).....	57
Table IV. 30 Sample calculation for Q -problem (retailer 5).....	58
Table IV. 31 Sample calculation for Q -problem (retailer 6).....	59
Table IV. 32 Sample calculation for Q -problem (DC Surabaya).....	59
Table IV. 33 Sample calculation of cost of stock 2	60
Table IV. 34 Sample calculation of cost of stock 3	61
Table IV. 35 Minimum cost of stock i (given $QN = 1$ at iteration 1).....	62
Table IV. 36 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01987).....	62
Table IV. 37 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01986).....	63
Table IV. 38 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01999).....	63
Table IV. 39 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01990).....	63
Table IV. 40 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01991).....	64
Table IV. 41 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01996).....	64
Table IV. 42 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘01998).....	64
Table IV. 43 Result of dynamic programming algorithm for Q -problem (SKU ‘00875).....	65
Table IV. 44 Calculation of maximum reasonable lead time demand levels at retailer Bali for SKU ‘01987.....	66
Table IV. 45 Summary result of $Di\tau$ for SKU ‘01987.....	67
Table IV. 46 Result of (R_i, Q_i) values of each stock i (SKU ‘01987).....	69
Table IV. 47 Calculation of fill rate	69
Table IV. 48 Actual holding cost per month.....	70
Table IV. 49 Calculation of actual order cost per 11 months	71
Table IV. 50 Total actual order cost per month	72

Table IV. 51 Actual shortage cost per month	73
Table IV. 52 Actual echelon inventory cost.....	73
Table IV. 53 Holding cost of proposed condition.....	74
Table IV. 54 Total holding cost of proposed condition	74
Table IV. 55 Ordering cost of proposed condition	75
Table IV. 56 Total ordering cost of proposed condition.....	76
Table IV. 57 Shortage cost of improvement condition	77
Table IV. 58 Total shortage cost of proposed condition.....	77
Table IV. 59 Echelon inventory cost of proposed condition	78
Table V. 1 Sensitivity of demand.....	82
Table V. 2 Sensitivity of ordering cost	83
Table V. 3 Sensitivity of holding cost.....	84
Table V. 4 Sensitivity of shortage cost	85
Table V. 5 Comparison of total holding cost per SKU	87
Table V. 6 Comparison of total ordering cost per SKU.....	88
Table V. 7 Comparison of total shortage cost per SKU.....	90
Table V. 8 Comparison of total echelon inventory cost.....	91
Table V. 9 Comparison of Fill Rate	92
Table VI. 1 Result of optimal parameter order quantity	94
Table VI. 2 Result of optimal parameter reorder point.....	94