

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2. 1 Legacy network vs SDN | 5 |
| Figure 2. 2 SDN Architecture | 6 |
| Figure 2. 3 Controller and OpenFlow switch..... | 7 |
| Figure 2. 4 Basic packet forwarding with OpenFlow in a switch..... | 7 |
| Figure 2. 5 Multiple/Distributed Controller | 8 |
| Figure 2. 6 POCO GUI | 9 |
| Figure 3. 1 Proposed Method | 13 |
| Figure 3. 2 PT Telkom Indonesia Network Topology illustration..... | 14 |
| Figure 3. 3 Metro Ethernet Jatim Regional Backbone Link | 15 |
| Figure 3. 4 Metro Ethernet Network East Java, Madiun Ring : Aggregator Link..... | 15 |
| Figure 3. 5 Proposed Method Abstraction, Existing vs Next Topology | 16 |
| Figure 3. 6 Level-1 Controller, POCO Failure free scenario, k=1. Controller location at 6 (ML) | 243 |
| Figure 3. 7 Level-1 Controller, Proposed method, k=1, Controller at RKT1 (2) | 264 |
| Figure 3. 8 Level-1 Controller, POCO Failure free scenario, k=2, best placement : controller imbalance..... | 25 |
| Figure 3. 9 Level-1 Controller, POCO Failure free scenario, k=2, best placement : max node to controller latency | 25 |
| Figure 3. 10 Level-1 Controller, POCO Failure free scenario, k=2, best placement : controller to controller latency | 25 |
| Figure 3. 11 Candidate 1 for Level-1 Controller, Proposed method, k=2, Controller location at 2 (RKT1) and 6 (ML2)..... | 26 |
| Figure 3. 12 Candidate-2 for Level-1 Controller,Proposed method, k=2, Controller location at 2 (RKT1) and 8 (MN) | 27 |
| Figure 3. 13 Candidate-3 for Level-1 Controller,Proposed method, k=2, Controller location at 1 (KBL1) and 2 (RKT1)..... | 27 |
| Figure 3. 14 Candidate 4 for Level-1 Controller,Proposed method, k=2, Controller location at 6 (ML) and 8 (MN)..... | 29 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 3. 15 Level-2 Controller, $k = 2$, POCO max node to controller best placement, controller at NJ (112) and PS (164). | 29 |
| Figure 3. 16 Level-2 Controller, $k=2$, POCO Controller imbalance best Placement, node at KDM (29), WLI (102). | 29 |
| Figure 3. 17 Level-2 Controller, $k=2$, Controller to controller latency best placement, controller location at JR (161), JR2 (181). | 30 |
| Figure 3. 18 Level-2 Controller, $k=2$, Proposed method, Candidate-1, controller location at RKT1 (42) dan MLK2 (77). | 31 |
| Figure 3. 19 Level-2 Controller, $k=2$, Candidate-2 controller location at RKT1 (42) and MN (107). | 32 |
| Figure 3. 20 Candidate 1 for Level-2 Controller, Resiliency, $k=3$, controller location at RKT1 (42), ML (77) and MN (107). | 33 |
| Figure 3. 21 Candidate 2 for Level-2 Controller, Resiliency, $k=3$, controller location at RKT1 (42), MLK2 (77) and JR (161). | 34 |
| Figure 3. 22 Candidate 3 for Level-2 Controller, Resiliency , $k=3$, controller location at RKT1 (42), KBL1 (1) and RKT2 (44) | 35 |
| Figure 3. 23 Candidate 4 for Level-2 Controller, $k=3$, controller location at RKT1 (42), KBL1 (1) and MLK2 (77). | 36 |
| Figure 3. 24 Candidate 5 for Level-2 Controller, Resiliency, $k=3$, controller location at RKT1 (42), KBL1 (1) and MN (107). | 37 |
| Figure 4. 1 Best placement for level-1 controller | 43 |
| Figure 4. 2 Controller ó node for controller placement = RKT(42), ML(77) ó MN (107) | 51 |
| Figure 4. 3 Controller ó node for controller placement = RKT(42), ML(77) ó MN (107) | 51 |
| Figure 4. 4 Legacy network, node with 10 G Link. | 52 |
| Figure 4. 5 SDN Level-1 Controller, node with 10 G Link. | 53 |
| Figure 4. 6 SDN Level-2 Controller, node with 1 G Link. | 53 |