

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL PAGE	i
SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM.....	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
DEDICATION	iv
PREFACE	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS/ GLOSSARY	xiv
APPENDIX LIST	xv
CHAPTER I	2
INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 Background	2
1.2 Problem Definition.....	3
1.3 Limitations and Requirements	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Hypotheses	4
1.6 Scope of Work.....	4
CHAPTER II.....	5
CONTROLLER PLACEMENT PROBLEM	5
2.1 SDN Overview	4
2.1.2 Controller Placement design	4

2.1.3	OpenFlow Protocols, Controller and Switch	6
2.1.4	SDN Topology Design.....	7
2.2	POCO Framework.....	7
2.3	Controller Placement Design Parameters.....	9
2.3.1	Controller failures Tolerance	9
2.3.2	Load Imbalance.....	10
2.3.3	Inter-Controller Latency	10
2.3.4	Maximum Network Delay	11
2.3.5	Controller Capacity.....	11
CHAPTER III		12
DESIGN AND SIMULATION		12
3.1	Design Process	12
3.2	Network Topology	14
3.3	Controller Placement Design	16
3.3.1	Design Limitation	16
3.3.2	Design Considerations	16
3.3.3	Determining numbers of the Controller	17
3.3.1	Calculating Packet-In Flow.....	17
3.3.2	Defining Controller numbers	18
3.4	Determining placement Candidates	19
3.5	Candidates for Level-1 Controller	19
3.6	Candidates for Level-2 Controller	22
3.7	Best Placement Design Scenario/ Simulation.....	22
3.8	Best Placement Simulation for Level-1 Controller.....	23

3.8.1 POCO Framework : failure-free, k=1, best placement : node to controller latency scenario.....	22
3.8.2 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, k=1.....	23
3.8.3 POCO Framework : Failure free scenario, k=2, best placement concerning controller imbalance	24
3.8.4 POCO Framework : POCO Framework : Failure free scenario, k=2, best placement concerning max node to controller latency.....	25
3.8.5 POCO Framework : Failure free scenario, k=2, best placement concerning controller to controller latency	25
3.8.6 Proposed Method, Node with Highest Flow, k=2, Candidate 1.	26
3.8.7 Proposed Method, Node with Highest Flow, k=2, Candidate 2 í	27
3.8.8 Proposed Method, Node with Highest Flow, k=2, Candidate-3	27
3.8.9 Proposed Method, Node with Highest Flow, k=2, Candidate 4	28
3.9 Best Placement Simulation for Level-2 Controller.....	29

3.9.1 POCO: max Node to Controller latency best placement, Failure free, k=2	29
3.9.2 POCO : Controller imbalance, Failure free, k=2.....	29
3.9.3 POCO : max Controller to controller latency, Failure free, k=2.....	30
3.9.4 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42) dan MLK2 (77).....	31
3.9.5 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, k=2, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42) dan MN (107).....	32
3.9.6 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, Resiliency aspect, k=3, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42), MLK2 (77) and MN (107).....	33
3.9.7 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, Resiliency aspect, k=3, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42), MLK2 (77) and JR (161).....	34
3.9.8 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, Resiliency aspect, k=3, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42), KBL1 (1) and RKT2 (44).....	35
3.9.9 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, Resiliency aspect, k=3, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42), KBL1 (1) and MLK2 (77).....	36
3.9.10 Proposed Method : Node with Highest Flow, Resiliency aspect, k=3, Controller Candidate at RKT1 (42), KBL1 (1) and MN (107).....	37
CHAPTER 4	38
BEST PLACEMENT ANALYSIS	37
4.1 Best Placement for Level-1 Controller	37
4.1.1 Level-1 controller, k=1.	37
4.1.2 Level-1 controller, K=2 (Resiliency aspect).....	39
4.1.3 Level-1 best placement	43
4.2 Best placement for level-2 controller.....	43
4.2.1 Level-2 Controller, Scenario for k=2.....	43
4.2.2 Scenario for k=3.....	47
4.2.2 Best placement for level-3	50
4.3 Design Analysis	52

4.3.1 SDN design vs legacy network	50
4.3.2 Load Analysis	53
4.3.3 Latency analysis	53
CHAPTER 5	56
CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE Works	57
5.1 Conclusion & Future works	57
5.2 Future Works & Recomendations	57
REFERENCES.....	57