

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL	i
SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
DEDICATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
PREFACE	vii
LIST OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Rationale	1
1.2 Theoretical Framework	2
1.3 Conceptual Framework	3
1.4 Problem Statement	3
1.5 Hypotheses	4
1.6 Assumption	4
1.7 Scope and Delimitation	4
1.8 Importance of The Study	5
2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND STUDIES	6
2.1 Linguistic Steganography	6
2.2 Twitter Based Steganography by Wilson	7
2.2.1 Database Paraphrase (PPDB) Method	8
2.2.2 Hash Function	9
2.2.2.1 MD5 Algorithm	11
2.2.2.2 Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC)	13
2.2.3 First Distortion Measure (Natural Language Model)	15
2.2.3.1 Distortion Measure	15

2.2.3.2	Maximum Likelihood Estimation	16
2.2.3.3	Smoothing	17
2.2.4	Second Distortion Measure (Human in the Loop)	18
2.3	Graph Code Generation Method	18
2.3.1	Ngram Count Method (NGM) Score	18
2.3.2	Graph Code	19
2.3.3	Reduction Process	22
2.3.4	Correction Process	22
2.4	Transformation Method	23
2.4.1	Contextual Synonym Substitution Method	24
2.4.2	Syntactical transformation Method	24
2.4.3	Clause	26
2.4.3.1	Phrase	27
2.4.3.1.1	Noun Phrase	27
2.4.3.1.2	Verb Phrase	27
2.4.3.1.3	Pronouns Phrase	28
2.4.3.1.4	Numeral Phrase	28
2.4.3.1.5	The Examples of Transformation Cover	29
2.4.4	POS Tagging	30
2.4.5	PCPATR Parser	32
2.5	Naturalness Evaluation	32
2.5.1	Human Judgment Evaluation	32
2.5.2	Meteor Universal (Language Specific Translation Evaluation)	32
2.5.2.1	Precision	33
2.5.2.2	Recall	33
2.5.2.3	F1 (The Harmonic Mean)	34
2.5.2.4	FMean	34
2.5.2.5	Fragment Penalty	34
2.5.2.6	Meteor 'Final Score'	35
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	36
3.1	System Design and Implementation	37
3.1.1	Encoding Process	37
3.1.1.1	Contextual Synonym Substitution Process	38
3.1.1.1.1	Formalization Process of Tweet Corpus	39
	Parsing KBBI Word	41
	Parsing Abbreviation Word	42
3.1.1.1.2	Word List Retrieval Process	44
	Filtering Twitter Word Based on KBBI Word	46
	Filtering Idiom Word	48
	Building State Transition Diagram For Tagging Process	51
	Calculating NGM Score	52
3.1.1.1.3	Word Context Categorization	54
3.1.1.1.4	Filtering Synonym-set	56
3.1.1.1.5	Graph Code Generation	58
	Finding Synonym of Cover Words	59

	Generating Synonym-set Graph	62
	Generating Word-Code	64
3.1.1.1.6	Generating Tweet Substitution	74
3.1.1.1.7	Naturalness Evaluating	77
3.1.1.1.8	Example of Substitution Process	78
3.1.1.2	Transformation Process	88
3.1.1.2.1	Generate Word and Word-Class Process	89
3.1.1.2.2	Tweet Transformation	91
	Transformation Clause	93
	Transforming Clause to active	96
	Transforming Clause to Passive	97
	Transforming Clause to Inverse	98
	Transforming Clause to <i>Ekslamatif1</i>	100
	Transforming Clause to <i>Ekslamatif2</i>	101
	Transforming Clause to <i>Ekslamatif3</i>	103
	Transforming Clause to <i>Pembiaran1</i>	104
	Transforming Clause to <i>Pembiaran2</i>	106
	Rootword Checker Process	107
3.1.1.2.3	Example of Transformation Process	112
3.1.1.3	Padding Bit	113
3.1.1.3.1	Substitution Bit Code	113
3.1.1.3.2	Transformation Bit Code	114
3.1.1.4	Hash Function	114
3.1.1.4.1	Shifted Key	116
3.1.1.4.2	Digest XOR Start Position	116
3.1.1.4.3	Digest	117
3.1.1.4.4	Concatenating TBC and SBC	117
3.1.1.4.5	N-bit Digest	118
3.1.1.4.6	New Digest Process	118
3.1.1.4.7	The Example of General Hash Function Process	119
3.1.1.5	Proposed and Example of Encoding Process	121
3.1.1.5.1	Proposed Encoding Process	126
3.1.1.5.2	Private Share Key and Function	127
3.1.1.5.3	Encoding Process	128
3.1.2	Decoding Process	131
3.1.2.1	Conjunction Checker	131
3.1.2.2	Transformation Bit Code	131
3.1.2.3	Transforming Tweet_Stego to Original Tweet	132
3.1.2.4	Contextual synonym substitution Checker Process	133
3.1.2.5	Getting Full Payload	133
3.1.2.6	Example of Decoding Process	136
3.1.2.6.1	Private Share Key and Function	137
3.1.2.6.2	Decoding Process	138
3.1.3	Experiment Scenario	141
3.1.3.1	Capacity Evaluation	141
3.1.3.2	Naturalness Evaluation	141
3.1.3.3	Security Evaluation	141

3.2	Population/Sampling	142
3.3	Instrument and Data Collection	142
3.4	Tools for Data Analysis	142
4	DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	143
4.1	Presentation of Data	143
4.1.1	The Result of Experiment for Capacity Evaluation	143
4.1.2	The Result of Experiment for Naturalness Evaluation	155
4.1.2.1	The Naturalness Evaluation Result Using Meteor Universal	156
4.1.2.2	The Naturalness Evaluation Result Using Quistionnaire	160
4.1.3	The Result of Experiment for Security Evaluation	162
4.2	Analysis of Data	162
4.2.1	Embedding Maximum Payload Capacity Analysis Between Wilson's and Proposed Method	163
4.2.2	Analysis of The Naturalness of Tweet Stego	165
4.2.2.1	Analysis of The Naturalness using Meteor Universal Tools	166
4.2.2.1.1	Precision Evaluation	166
4.2.2.1.2	Recall Evaluation	167
4.2.2.1.3	F1 Evaluation	168
4.2.2.1.4	F-Mean Evaluation	169
4.2.2.1.5	Fragment Penalty Evaluation	170
4.2.2.1.6	Final Score Evaluation	171
4.2.2.2	Analysis of The Naturalness using Quistionnaire	172
4.2.2.2.1	Percentage of Naturalness Comparison Between Wilson's and Proposed Method Using Original Cover	173
4.2.2.2.2	Naturalness Analysis of Tweet Stego Result from The Perspective Expert using Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test Method	174
4.2.2.3	Comparison Naturalness Analysis Between Wilson's and Proposed Method using Meteor universal tools	175
4.2.3	Security Analysis Experiment Between Wilson's Method and Proposed Method	176
4.3	Summary of Findings	177
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	179
5.1	Conclusion	179
5.2	Recommendation	180
A	WORD TRANSFORMATION	183
A.1	Adjective Transformation	183
A.2	Noun Transformation	184
A.3	Adverb Transformation	185
A.4	Numeralia Transformation	186
A.5	Verb Transformation	186

A.6 Another Root Word Transformation	190
B NATURALNESS QUISTIONNAIRE	193
B.1 Naturalness Quistionnaire for the Original Tweet Cover	193
B.2 Naturalness Quistionnaire for the Stego Tweet Result of Previous Method	226
B.3 Naturalness Quistionnaire for the Stego Tweet Result of Proposed Method	258
C LIST OF HUMAN JUDGMENT	291
D LIST OF SENTENCES EXPERIMENT BETWEEN PREVIOUS STEGO TWEET AND REFERENCE SENTENCES	294
E LIST OF SENTENCES EXPERIMENT BETWEEN PROPOSED STEGO TWEET AND REFERENCE SENTENCES	304
F CURRICULUM VITAE	314