List of Figures

$1.1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.4$	Google maps navigation using GPSTimeline Feature on Google MapsBlocked Signal GPS[10]Trajectory pattern in F multi-floor building at Telkom University	1 1 2 3
2.1 2.2	Coordinate system (relative to a device) that's used by the Sensor API [25] Graph of accelerometer reading. Figure (a) shows when the device is pushed on its left side toward the right. Figure (b) is is pushed on its up side toward the down. Figure (c) is pushed	10
2.3	on its front side toward the back	10
24	The ambient geomegnetic field to the V axis	11 19
2.4 2.5	Algorithm to save the recording sensors [18]	12
$\frac{2.0}{2.6}$	Algorithm to reconstruct trajectory [18]	14
$\frac{2.0}{2.7}$	Illustration of human movement from A point to C point	15
2.8	Trigonometric formula in quadrant 1 [23]	15
3.1	System Architecture Review	19
3.2	Flow of the System Architecture	19
3.3	Illustration of human movement from A point to C point	22
3.4	Trigonometric formula in quadrant 1 [23] \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	23
4.1	Illustration of 4 different paths on single floor. The difference of each path is in the walking direction. Figure (a) shows the walking direction to the east and Figure (e) shows the number of footstep. Figure (b) shows the walking direction to the north and Figure (f) shows the number of footstep. Figure (c) shows the walking direction to the diagonal and Figure (g) shows the number of footstep. Figure (d) shows the walking direction combination of three previous paths and Figure (h) shows the number of footstep	25

4.2	Illustration of path on multi floor. Figure (a) shows the walking	
	direction from the first floor to the second floor and Figure (b)	
	shows the number of footstep detected	25
4.3	Example of holding the device with the angle position is 90° .	26
4.4	Graph of Accelerometer Reading. Figure (a) shows that when	
	human move to other position then the value of accelerometer	
	reading is change, while the human is stop or stay the value of	
	accelerometer reading is constant. Figure (b) shows the value	
	of accelerometer reading when walking activity is on single floor	
	and up-stair	26
4.5	Reference to the holding device using protractor	27
4.6	Testing scenario for range walking. Figure (a), (b), and (c)	
	shows the scenario with actual step is 10 step and spent 20	
	second for finish the scenario. The difference is each figure used	
	the different times scenario. The scenario are figure (a) is 2	
	second for walk and 2 second for stop, figure (b) is 5 second for	
	walk and 5 second for stop, while figure (c) is 10 second for walk	
	and 10 second for stop.	27
4.7	The level average of accuracy based on path a scenario testing.	$\frac{-}{30}$
4.8	The level average of accuracy based on path a scenario testing.	30
4.9	The level average of accuracy based on path c scenario testing.	31
4.10	The level average of accuracy based on path d scenario testing.	31
4.11	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	-
	of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 0° . Figure (a) shows	
	the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b.	
	Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the	
	result for path d	31
4.12	The average level of accuracy based on the optimum value of	
	the threshold is 0.3 in single floor	33
4.13	The average level of accuracy based on the constant optimum	
	accuracy value of the threshold is 0.1 in single floor	33
4.14	The average level of accuracy based on the optimum value of	
	the threshold is 0.3 in multi-floor	33
4.15	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path a	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90° .	34
4.16	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path b	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90° .	34
	· · · · · ·	

4.17	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path c	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90° .	34
4.18	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path d	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0° Figure (b) with device position 30° Figure (c) with device	
	position 45° Figure (d) device position is 90°	35
1 10	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path a	00
4.13	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0° Eigung (b) with device position 20° Eigung (c) with device	
	U. Figure (b) with device position 50. Figure (c) with device $r_{\rm exiting}$ (d) device $r_{\rm exiting}$ is 00°	<u></u> ٩٣
1.00	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90°	35
4.20	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path b	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90° .	35
4.21	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path c	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90° .	36
4.22	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for path d	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45° . Figure (d) device position is 90° .	36
4.23	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for multi floor	
	testing scenario. Figure (a) shows the result of device position	
	0°. Figure (b) with device position 30°. Figure (c) with device	
	position 45°. Figure (d) device position is 90° .	36
4.24	Graph Visualization Level of Accuracy Range Walking Test Sce-	
	nario based on the best threshold and device position is 0°	37
4.25	The level accuracy based on the optimum value of the range	
1.20	walking in multi floor	38
4.26	The level accuracy based on the optimum value of the range	00
1.20	walking in multi floor	38
1 27	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for range walk-	00
4.21	ing path a tosting scopario in 2 second. Figure (a) the result of	
	0.1 threshold is more sensitive then figure (b) with 0.2 threshold	
	when detecting human activity (welling or step)	20
1 00	Direct companion trajectory pochatical result for new result	99
4. <i>2</i> ð	ing path a testing gappenia in 5 group d. Eigen (a) the set lit	
	ing path a testing scenario in 5 second. Figure (a) the result of 0.1 threshold forms (1) is the could be $(0.2, 1)$ and $(1.2, 1)$	
	or 0.1 threshold, figure (b) is the result of 0.3 threshold when	00
	detecting human activity (walking or stop)	39

4.29	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result for range walk- ing path a testing scenario in 10 second. Figure (a) the result of 0.1 threshold, figure (b) is the result of 0.3 threshold when detecting human activity (walking or stop)	39
4.30	The result of trajectory reconstruction based on range walking testing scenario with the value of the threshold is 0.1. Figure (a) shows the scenario of 2s. Figure (b) shows the scenario of 5s. Figure (c) shows the scenario of 10s	40
4.31	The result of trajectory reconstruction based on range walking testing scenario with the value of the threshold is 0.3. Figure (a) shows the scenario of 2s. Figure (b) shows the scenario of 5s. Figure (c) shows the scenario of 10s	40
5.1	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 0° . Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the	
5.2	result for path d	46 46
5.3	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 0°. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the result for path d.	46
5.4	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 0°. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the result for path d	47
5.5	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 30°. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows the result for path d	
		41

5.6	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 30° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	47
5.7	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
0	of the threshold is 0.3 and device position is 30° Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	48
58	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	10
0.0	of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 30° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	18
50	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	40
5.9	of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 20°. Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	18
5 10	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	40
0.10	of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 45° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	the result for path d	18
5 11	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	40
0.11	of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 45° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	the result for path d	40
5 19	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	49
0.12	of the threshold is 0.3 and device position is 45° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	40
5 1 2	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	49
0.10	of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 20° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (a) shows the result for path a. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	40
		49

5.14	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 45° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	49
5.15	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.1 and device position is 90° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	50
5.16	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.2 and device position is 90° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	50
5.17	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.3 and device position is 90° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	
	the result for path d	50
5.18	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.4 and device position is 90° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	-
	the result for path d	50
5.19	Direct comparison trajectory reconstruction result with the value	
	of the threshold is 0.5 and device position is 90° . Figure (a)	
	shows the result for path a. Figure (b) shows the result for	
	path b. Figure (c) shows the result for path c. Figure (d) shows	F 1
	the result for path d	51