

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahmad, N. A., Drus, S. M., Kasim, H., & Othman, M. M. (2019). Assessing content validity of enterprise architecture adoption questionnaire (EAAQ) among content experts. ISCAIE 2019 - 2019 IEEE Symposium on Computer Applications and Industrial Electronics, 160–165.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCAIE.2019.8743918>
- Alhassan, I., Sammon, D., & Daly, M. (2019). Critical Success Factors for Data Governance: A Theory Building Approach. *Information Systems Management*, 36(2), 98–110.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2019.1589670>
- Ayre, C., & Scally, A. J. (2014). Critical values for Lawshe's content validity ratio: Revisiting the original methods of calculation. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 47(1), 79–86.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0748175613513808>
- DAMA-DMBOK. (2009). The DAMA Guide to The Data Management Body of Knowledge. In Technics Publications, LLC Post.
<https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.834176>
- DAMA International Technics. (2017). DAMA-DMBOK: Data Management Body of Knowledge: 2nd Edition. In Technics Publications.
- Golafshani, N. (2015). Understanding Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 8(4), 597–606.
<https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2003.1870>
- Hendryadi, H. (2017). Validitas Isi: Tahap Awal Pengembangan Kuesioner. *Jurnal Riset Manajemen Dan Bisnis (JRMB)* Fakultas Ekonomi UNIAT, 2(2), 169–178. <https://doi.org/10.36226/jrmb.v2i2.47>
- Khairunisak, Kusumasari, T. F., & Fauzi, R. (2021). Design Guidelines and Process of Metadata Management Based on Data Management Body of Knowledge. 2021 7th International Conference on Information Management, ICIM 2021, 87–91. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIM52229.2021.9417156>
- Kim, H. Y., & Cho, J. S. (2017). Data Governance Framework for Big Data Implementation with a Case of Korea. Proceedings - 2017 IEEE 6th

- International Congress on Big Data, BigData Congress 2017, 384–391.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/BigDataCongress.2017.56>
- Koltay, T. (2016). Data governance, data literacy and the management of data quality. *IFLA Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0340035216672238>
- O.Nyumba, T., Wilson, K., Derrick, C. J., & Mukherjee, N. (2018). The use of focus group discussion methodology: Insights from two decades of application in conservation. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(1), 20–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12860>
- Otto, B. (2011a). Data governance. *Business and Information Systems Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-011-0162-8>
- Otto, B. (2011b). Organizing Data Governance: Findings from the telecommunications industry and consequences for large service providers. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 29(1), 45–66.
<https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.02903>
- Otto, B. (2015). Quality and Value of the Data Resource in Large Enterprises. *Information Systems Management*, 32(3), 234–251.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2015.1044344>
- Potter, W. J., & Levine-Donnerstein, D. (1999). Rethinking validity and reliability in content analysis. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00909889909365539>
- Prasetyo, H. N., & Kridanto, S. (2013). Perbandingan Framework Tata Kelola Data DGI dan DAMA International. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Aplikasi Teknologi Informasi (SNATI), 27–32.
- Pruijt, L., Köppe, C., van der Werf, J. M., & Brinkkemper, S. (2017). The accuracy of dependency analysis in static architecture compliance checking. *Software - Practice and Experience*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.2421>
- Qurratuaini, H. (2018). Designing enterprise architecture based on TOGAF 9.1 framework. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/403/1/012065>
- Retnawati, H. (2016). Proving content validity of self-regulated learning scale (The comparison of Aiken index and expanded Gregory index). *Research and Evaluation in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.21831/reid.v2i2.11029>

- Setyawati, R. D. (2018). Instrumen Angket Self-Esteem Mahasiswa Ditinjau Dari Validitas Dan Reliabilitas. *Phenomenon : Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 7(2), 174–186. <https://doi.org/10.21580/phen.2017.7.2.1932>
- Standard, O. G., & Group, T. O. (2011). TOGAF ® Version 9.1.
- Terwee, C. B., Prinsen, C. A. C., Chiarotto, A., Westerman, M. J., Patrick, D. L., Alonso, J., Bouter, L. M., de Vet, H. C. W., & Mokkink, L. B. (2018). COSMIN methodology for evaluating the content validity of patient-reported outcome measures: a Delphi study. *Quality of Life Research*, 27(5), 1159–1170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-018-1829-0>
- Tridalestari, F. A., & Prasetyo, H. N. (2015). Identifikasi Fungsi Tata Kelola Data Framework Dama International Pada Universitas X. II(1), 45–54.
- Vangoslava, C., & Nur Gunawan, M. (2017). Perencanaan Arsitektur Enterprise Dengan Metode TOGAF Versi 9 (Studi Kasus: Rumah Sakit Umum Kota Tangerang Selatan). *Studia Informatika: Jurnal Sistem Informasi*.
- Weber, K. C., Machado, C. F., Machado, R. F., Magalhães, A. L., Duarte, A. M. D., Aguayo, M. T. V., Schwening, C., Melchionna, R., & Antonioni, J. A. (2017). A process reference model and a process assessment model to foster R&D&I management in organizations: MGPDI. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 770(October), 351–368. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67383-7_26
- Yuliana, R., & Rahardjo, B. (2018). Agile Data Architecture in Mining Industry for Continuously Business-IT Alignment: EA Perspective. *Jurnal Online Informatika*, 3(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.15575/join.v3i1.213>