The WER margin for Formal Words and Named Entity using Syllable Tagging model is considerably low in terms of percentage proven by how low the margin between each dataset WER with the average value of each WER. It can be happened because it use the same model from combined dataset for the training data. Table. III compares other Indonesian Syllabification models with this Transformer model with and without Syllable Tagging. Comparing with other deep learning model, this Transformer model is better than BiLSTM-CNN-CRF in terms of Named Entity WER with 1.02% margin but the WER for Formal Words still lower than this model with 0.88% margin. It happens because the BiLSTM-CNN-CRF using augmented 50k Formal Words that turn into 12.8M valid augmented words for training, thus the result is better when tested with Formal Words and because Named Entity Words have some unique syllable that could be not included in the vocabulary of the model and causing false prediction. This Transformer model have lower average WER percentage than the BiLSTM-CNN-CRF model with 3.68% compared to 3.75%.

TABLE III
MODEL COMPARATIONS

Model	WER_{FW}	WER_{NE}	\overline{WER}
Rule-based [11]	-	2.9%	2.9%
BiLSTM-CNN-CRF [8]	2.50%	5.01%	3.75%
Transformer _{withoutST}	18.2%	26.7%	22.4%
Transformer _{withST}	3.38%	3.99%	3.68%

ST: Syllable Tagging FW: Formal Words NE: Named Entity

V. CONCLUSION

This Transformer model with Syllable Tagging has similar WER for both Formal Words and Named Entity which depicts this model can be used universally for Indonesian words. This model has a lower WER average than the other Indonesian syllabification deep learning model with 3.68% compared to 3.75% BiLSTM-CNN-CRF average WER which proves that this model performs better than the previous deep learning model with the same dataset.

Improved WER for syllabification can open up opportunities to have more accurate spelling of a word which opens up another opportunity to have better pronunciation for Indonesian language text-to-speech. Minor works can be done using different deep learning methods that still use Syllable Tagging method. Future works from this paper can be improving the model at the phonemic level to represent more accuracy for text-to-speech.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Mailani, I. Nuraeni, S. A. Syakila, and J. Lazuardi, "Bahasa Sebagai Alat Komunikasi Dalam Kehidupan Manusia," *Kampret Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2022, doi: 10.35335/kampret.v1i1.8.
- [2] D. R. Bulan, "Bahasa Indonesia sebagai Identitas Nasional Bangsa Indonesia," *Jurnal JISIPOL*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 23–29, 2019, [Online]. Available: http://ejournal.unibba.ac.id/index.php/jisipol/article/view/115
- [3] S. Sujarno, "Syllabification in Indonesian Language," Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 731–738, 2021, doi: 10.33258/birci.v4i1.1662.
- Sciences, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 751–756, 2021, doi: 10.53236/bitcl.v411.1002.

 [4] K. Batsuren *et al.*, "The SIGMORPHON 2022 Shared Task on Morpheme Segmentation," Jun. 2022, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07615
- [5] J. Krantz, M. Dulin, and P. de Palma, "Language-agnostic syllabification with neural sequence labeling," *Proceedings 18th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications*, ICMLA 2019, pp. 804–810, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ICMLA.2019.00141.
- [6] S. Suyanto, K. M. Lhaksmana, M. A. Bijaksana, and A. Kurniawan, "Data Augmentation Methods for Low-Resource Orthographic Syllabification," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 147399–147406, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3015778.
- [7] E. A. Parande and S. Suyanto, "Indonesian graphemic syllabification using a nearest neighbour classifier and recovery procedure," *Int J Speech Technol*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 13–20, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10772-018-09569- 3.
- [8] S. Suyanto, A. Romadhony, F. Sthevanie, and R. N. Ismail, "Augmented words to improve a deep learning-based Indonesian syllabification," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 10, p. e08115, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08115.
- [9] A. M. Fanani and S. Suyanto, "Syllabification Model of Indonesian Language Named-Entity Using Syntactic n-Gram," *Procedia Comput Sci*, vol. 179, no. 2019, pp. 721–727, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.058.
- 10. 2019, pp. 121–127, 2021, doi: 10.1010/j.procs.2021.01.038.
 [10] S. Suyanto, A. Sunyoto, R. N. Ismail, A. Romadhony, and F. Sthevanie, "Augmented-syllabification of n-gram tagger for Indonesian words and named-
- entities" *Heliyon*, vol. 8, no. November, p. e11922, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11922. [11] A. Rahman, D. T. Murdiansyah, and K. M. Lhaksmana, "Silabifikasi Menggunakan Metode Rule-Based dalam Bahasa Indonesia," vol. 8, no. 5, pp.
- [11] A. Rahman, D. I. Murdiansyan, and K. M. Lhaksmana, "Silabifikasi Menggunakan Metode Rule-Based dalam Banasa Indonesia," vol. 8, no. 5, pp 11282–11287, 2021.
- [12] A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is all you need," Adv Neural Inf Process Syst, vol. 2017-December, no. Nips, pp. 5999-6009, 2017.
- [13] Z. Niu, G. Zhong, and H. Yu, "A review on the attention mechanism of deep learning," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 452, pp. 48–62, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2021.03.091.
- [14] M. Zulqarnain, R. Ghazali, M. G. Ghouse, and M. F. Mushtaq, "Efficient processing of GRU based on word embedding for text classification," *International Journal on Informatics Visualization*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 377–383, 2019, doi: 10.30630/joiv.3.4.289.
- [15] TensorFlow. "Neural machine translation with a Transformer and Keras." tensorflow.org. https://www.tensorflow.org/text/tutorials/transformer (accessed Dec. 28, 2022).
- [16] D.-G. Corlatescu, S. Ruseti, and M. Dascalu, 'Romanian Syllabification Using Deep Neural Networks', in Ludic, Co-design and Tools Supporting Smart Learning Ecosystems and Smart Education, 2022, pp. 93–102.