

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] “A Study on Private Browsing: Consumer Usage, Knowledge, and Thoughts,” 2017.
- [2] F. Khomh, T. Dhaliwal, Y. Zou, and B. Adams, “Do faster releases improve software quality? An empirical case study of Mozilla Firefox,” *IEEE International Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories*, pp. 179–188, 2012, doi: 10.1109/MSR.2012.6224279.
- [3] M. ’ Minin and N. Anwar, “Buletin Sistem Informasi dan Teknologi Islam Live Data Forensic Artefak Internet Browser (Studi Kasus Google Chrome, Mozilla Firefox, Opera Mode Incognito) INFORMASI ARTIKEL ABSTRAK,” vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 130–138, 2020.
- [4] “What is Digital Forensics | Phases of Digital Forensics | EC-Council.” <https://www.eccouncil.org/what-is-digital-forensics/> (accessed Jan. 20, 2023).
- [5] M. N. Faiz, R. Umar, A. Yudhana, and U. A. Dahlan, “ANALISIS LIVE FORENSICS UNTUK PERBANDINGAN KEMANANAN EMAIL PADA SISTEM OPERASI PROPRIETARY,” *Jurnal Ilmiah ILKOM*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2016.
- [6] N. F. Saragih, “DATA HIDING DAN DATA DESTRUCTION PADA ANTI FORENSIK KOMPUTER,” *Jurnal METHODIKA*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 2442–7861, 2017.
- [7] “ICIW2007- 2nd International Conference on Information Warfare & Security ... - Google Buku.” [https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=qH7hAXN0b4sC&oi=fnd&pg=PA77&dq=anti-forensics+techniques&ots=BnF0dzWjdl&sig=tz1hMZqBNmmuWI6jY9RcyhWQkyA&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=anti-forensics%20techniques&f=false](https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=qH7hAXN0b4sC&oi=fnd&pg=PA77&dq=anti-forensics+techniques&ots=BnF0dzWjdl&sig=tz1hMZqBNmmuWI6jY9RcyhWQkyA&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=anti-forensics%20techniques&f=false) (accessed Jul. 08, 2023).
- [8] H. Said, N. Al Mutawa, I. Al Awadhi, and M. Guimaraes, “Forensic analysis of private browsing artifacts,” *2011 International Conference on Innovations in Information Technology, IIT 2011*, pp. 197–202, 2011, doi: 10.1109/INNOVATIONS.2011.5893816.
- [9] X. Gao, Y. Yang, H. Fu, J. Lindqvist, and Y. Wang, “Private browsing: An inquiry on usability and privacy protection,” *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, pp. 97–106, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1145/2665943.2665953.
- [10] M. Syed *et al.*, “Protecting Digital Evidence Integrity and Preserving Chain of Custody,” *Journal of Digital Forensics, Security and Law*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 12, doi: 10.15394/jdfsl.2017.1478.
- [11] “Imager User Guide,” 1992.
- [12] F. Khomh, T. Dhaliwal, Y. Zou, and B. Adams, “Do faster releases improve software quality? An empirical case study of Mozilla Firefox,” *IEEE International Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories*, pp. 179–188, 2012, doi: 10.1109/MSR.2012.6224279.
- [13] “Digital Tools for the Inclusive Classroom: Google Chrome as Assistive and Instructional Technology. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 016264341984154 | 10.1177/0162643419841546.” <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0162643419841546?journalCode=jsta> (accessed Apr. 02, 2023).
- [14] H. Macht, “Live Memory Forensics on Android with Volatility,” 2013.
- [15] J. Donahue, A. Paturi, and S. Mukkamala, “Visualization techniques for efficient malware detection,” *IEEE ISI 2013 - 2013 IEEE International Conference on Intelligence and Security Informatics: Big Data, Emergent Threats, and Decision-Making in Security Informatics*, pp. 289–291, 2013, doi: 10.1109/ISI.2013.6578845.
- [16] I. Journal ----of ----, T. Rochmadi, and J. Brawijaya No, “LIVE FORENSIK UNTUK ANALISA ANTI FORENSIK PADA WEB BROWSER STUDI KASUS BROWZAR,” *Indonesian Journal of Business Intelligence (IJUBI)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 32–38, Feb. 2019, Accessed: Jul. 28, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://ejournal.almaata.ac.id/index.php/IJUBI/article/view/878>
- [17] C. Warren, E. El-Sheikh, and N. A. Le-Khac, “Privacy preserving Internet browsers: Forensic analysis of Browzar,” *Computer and Network Security Essentials*, pp. 369–388, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-58424-9\_21/COVER.

- [18] S.-Y. Teng and C.-Y. Wen, “A Forensic Examination of Anonymous Browsing Activities,” *FORENSIC SCIENCE JOURNAL SINCE*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2002, doi: 10.6593/FSJ.2018.1701.01.
- [19] V. FAUZI, “Teknik Anti Forensik untuk Mode Incognito Google Chrome,” 2017, Accessed: Jan. 20, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://openlibrary.telkomuniversity.ac.id/home/catalog/id/139953/slug/teknik-anti-forensik-untuk-mode-incognito-google-chrome.html>
- [20] “Forensic Analysis of Social Networks.” <https://sci-hub.se/https://doi.org/10.2498/iti.2013.0526> (accessed Aug. 10, 2023).
- [21] R. Nelson, A. Shukla, and C. Smith, “Web Browser Forensics in Google Chrome, Mozilla Firefox, and the Tor Browser Bundle,” *Studies in Big Data*, vol. 61, pp. 219–241, 2020, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23547-5\_12/COVER.
- [22] S. Sarjimin and A. Yudhana, “Digital Forensic Process via Parallel Data Acquisition Technic: Experimental Case Study,” *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 2579–7298, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.29099/ijair.v6i1.354.
- [23] “Kementerian Kominfo Blokir 566 Ribu Konten Judi Online Sejak 2018.” <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/datapublish/2022/08/23/kementerian-kominfo-blokir-566-ribu-konten-judi-online-sejak-2018> (accessed Aug. 10, 2023).
- [24] “View of A Comparative Analysis of Residual Data Between Private Browsing and Normal Browsing Using Live Memory Acquisition.” <https://publisher.uthm.edu.my/periodicals/index.php/aitcs/article/view/7488/2760> (accessed Aug. 10, 2023).
- [25] “Forensic analysis of WeChat on Android smartphones. Digital Investigation, 21, 3–10 | 10.1016/j.diin.2016.11.002.” <https://sci-hub.se/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2016.11.002> (accessed Aug. 10, 2023).