

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Abdollahbeigi, B., Salehi, F., & Jayashree, S. (2017). The Effect of Recruitment, Selection and Development on Talent Management in IKCO Company in Iran. *International Journal Of Advanced Engineering And Management*, 2, 69. <https://doi.org/10.24999/IJOAEM/02030019>
2. Ahmed, M. N., Mohammed, H. A., Aswed, G. K., & Alyhya, W. S. S. (2019). Investigating factors affecting feasibility study of construction projects in Iraq. *7(3)*, 1209–1217. <http://pen.ius.edu.ba>
3. Alhamami, B. R. M., Nassar, Y. S., & Qendeel, L. M. R. (2023). Feasibility studies and their effects on the success or failure of investment projects. “najaf governorate as a model.” *Open Engineering*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1515/eng-2022-0467>
4. Al-Kadeem, R., Backar, S., Eldardiry, M., & Haddad, H. (2017). Review on Using System Dynamics in Designing Work Systems of Project Organizations. *International Journal of System Dynamics Applications*, 6(2), 52–70. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijdsda.2017040103>
5. Al-Nabae, M., & sammani, D. (2021). Factors That Influencing Project Management Performance: A Review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(8). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v11-i8/10332>
6. Andersson, C., Karlsson, L., Nedstam, J., Host, M., & Nilsson, B. I. (2002). Understanding software processes through system dynamics simulation: a case study. *Proceedings Ninth Annual IEEE International Conference and Workshop on the Engineering of Computer-Based Systems*, 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ECBS.2002.999821>
7. Ardika, M., & Nurmala, N. (2021, May 19). Analysis of IT Project Schedule Inaccuracy. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.14-9-2020.2304569>
8. Arga, K., Susetyo, B., & Syafwandi, S. (2020). Feasibility Study Of A Railway Construction Project As Intermodal Transportation In Tanjung Perak Port. *Sinergi*, 25(1), 59. <https://doi.org/10.22441/sinergi.2021.1.008>
9. Bause, K., Radimersky, A., Iwanicki, M., & Albers, A. (2014). Feasibility studies in the product development process. *Procedia CIRP*, 21, 473–478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2014.03.128>
10. Borshchev, A., & Filippov, A. (2004). From System Dynamics and Discrete Event to Practical Agent Based From System Dynamics and Discrete Event to Practical Agent Based Modeling: Reasons, Techniques, Tools. *International Conference of the System Dynamics Society*. [www.xjtek.com](http://www.xjtek.com)
11. Danang, S. (2013). *Metodologi Penelitian Akuntansi*. PT Refika Aditama Anggota Ikapi.
12. Davis, J. P., Eisenhardt, K. M., & Bingham, C. B. (2007). Developing Theory Through Simulation Methods. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2), 480–499. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.24351453>

13. Drljaca, D. P., & Latinovic, B. (2018). Using TELOS for the planning of the information system audit. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 294(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/294/1/012022>
14. Fogle, A., & Yanzhen, Q. (2015). An Extended Simulation Model for Managing Dynamic Changes in Software Development Projects. *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, 5(5), 325–331. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJMO.2015.V5.483>
15. Fryling, M. (2015). Investigating the Effect of Customization on Rework in a Higher Education Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Post-Implementation Environment: A System Dynamics Approach. *Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research*, 17(1), 8–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228053.2015.1014750>
16. Harrison, J. R., Lin, Z., Carroll, G. R., & Carley, K. M. (2007). Simulation Modeling In Organizational And Management Research. In *Academy of Management Review* (Vol. 32, Issue 4).
17. Hell, M., & Petrić, L. (2021). System dynamics approach to TALC modeling. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13094803>
18. Herdiansyah, H. (2013). Wawancara, Observasi, dan Focus Groups. PT. Rajagrafindo Persada.
19. Hyari, K., & Kandil, A. A. (2009). Validity of Feasibility Studies for Infrastructure Construction Projects. *Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering*, 3. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:28715125>
20. Jo, H., Lee, H., Suh, Y., Kim, J., & Park, Y. (2015). A dynamic feasibility analysis of public investment projects: An integrated approach using system dynamics and agent-based modeling. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(8), 1863–1876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.07.002>
21. Khamooshi, H., & Golafshani, H. (2014). EDM: Earned Duration Management, a new approach to schedule performance management and measurement. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(6), 1019–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.11.002>
22. Kim, S., Kim, J.-S., & Cho, D.-K. (2021). Benefit and Cost Ratio Analysis of Direct Disposal and Pyro-SFR Fuel Cycle Alternatives Using the Results of Multi-Criteria Decision-Making in Korea. *Energies*, 14(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14123509>
23. Krieger, T., Martig, D. S., van den Brink, E., & Berger, T. (2016). Working on self-compassion online: A proof of concept and feasibility study. *Internet Interventions*, 6, 64–70. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2016.10.001>
24. Lee, K., Son, S., Kim, D., & Kim, S. (2019). A dynamic simulation model for economic feasibility of apartment development projects. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, 23(5), 305–316. <https://doi.org/10.3846/ijspm.2019.9822>

25. Leon, H., Osman, H., Georgy, M., & Elsaid, M. (2018). System Dynamics Approach for Forecasting Performance of Construction Projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 34(1). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)me.1943-5479.0000575](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)me.1943-5479.0000575)
26. Liu, Y. (2022). Evaluation Method Based on NPV and IRR. *Proceedings of the 2022 2nd International Conference on Enterprise Management and Economic Development (ICEMED 2022)*, 816–820. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.220603.133>
27. McLeod, S. (2021a). Feasibility studies for novel and complex projects: Principles synthesised through an integrative review. *Project Leadership and Society*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plas.2021.100022>
28. McLeod, S. (2021b). Interrelated Attributes of Project Feasibility: Visualizing the TELOS Framework. <https://doi.org/10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-PPT0ZRS.v1>
29. Mihailovs, N., & Cakula, S. (2020). Dynamic system sustainability simulation modelling. *Baltic Journal of Modern Computing*, 8(1), 192–201. <https://doi.org/10.22364/BJMC.2020.8.1.12>
30. Mukherjee, M., & Roy, S. (2017). Feasibility Studies and Important Aspect of Project Management. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering and Management*, 2(4), 98–100. <https://ijoaem.org/00204-25>
31. Müller, R., & Jugdev, K. (2012). Critical success factors in projects: Pinto, Slevin, and Prescott – the elucidation of project success. In *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business* (Vol. 5, Issue 4, pp. 757–775). Emerald Group Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538371211269040>
32. Naugle, A., Langarudi, S., & Clancy, T. (2024). What is (quantitative) system dynamics modeling? Defining characteristics and the opportunities they create. *System Dynamics Review*, 40(2), e1762. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.1762>
33. Nicholas, A. I., & Hilary, A. (2017). The Role Of Feasibility Studies On Project And Organizational Performance. In *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences* (Vol. 7, Issue 5). <http://www.ijmra.us>, <http://www.ijmra.us>,
34. Ningsi, N., & Nuzul, M. (2023). Feasibility Analysis Of E-Government Services Using Telos Method. *JURTEKSI (Jurnal Teknologi Dan Sistem Informasi)*, 9(2), 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.33330/jurtekxi.v9i2.1616>
35. Perdana, D. P., Candra Wulan, P. I. D., & Fauzi, R. (2022). Feasibility Study Of Web-Based Academic Information Systems At Bhakti Semesta Salatiga Polytechnic Using The Feasibility Method Of TELOS. *Compiler*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.28989/compiler.v11i2.1257>
36. Pollock, J., Ho, S. V., & Farid, S. S. (2013). Fed-batch and perfusion culture processes: Economic, environmental, and operational feasibility under uncertainty. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 110(1), 206–219. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24608>

37. Prayogi, R., Pratami, D., Puspita, I., & Bermano, A. (2019, June). Measuring Schedule Performance of Fiber to The Home Project Using Earned Value Management. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icoiese-18.2019.53>
38. Project Management Institute. (2017). The standard for project management and a guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide).
39. Rachlin, R. (2019). Return on Investment Manual: Tools and Applications for Managing Financial Results. Routledge.
40. Ramani, S., & Sruthi, N. (2016). Application of Earned Value Management to Compute the Project Performance Using Analytical Network Process. *Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering*, 10, 254–265. <https://doi.org/10.14525/JJCE.10.1.3541>
41. Sanders, G. D., Maciejewski, M. L., & Basu, A. (2019). Overview of Cost-effectiveness Analysis. *JAMA Guide to Statistics and Methods*.
42. Schwender, J. D., Holly, L. T., Rouben, D. P., & Foley, K. T. (2005). Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion (TLIF): Technical Feasibility and Initial Results. *Clinical Spine Surgery*, 18. [https://journals.lww.com/jspinaldisorders/fulltext/2005/02001/minimally\\_invasive\\_transforaminal\\_lumbar\\_interbody.1.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/jspinaldisorders/fulltext/2005/02001/minimally_invasive_transforaminal_lumbar_interbody.1.aspx)
43. Sisinfo LLDIKTI Wilayah IV. (2019). Direktori LLDIKTI4.
44. Sokolowski, J. A., & Banks, C. M. (2009). Principles of modeling and simulation : a multidisciplinary approach. John Wiley.
45. Ssegawa, J. K., & Muzinda, M. (2021). Feasibility assessment framework (FAF): A systematic and objective approach for assessing the viability of a project. *Procedia Computer Science*, 181, 377–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.180>
46. Sugiyono. (2008). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D. Alfabeta.
47. Swanson, C., Sloan School of Management, & Thorsten, A. C. (2012). A System Dynamics and Implementation of Inventory Policies.
48. Uzoka, F.-M. E., Akinuwesi, B. A., Oluwole, N., Adekoya, A. F., & Egbekunle, O. Y. (2016). Identifying factors for evaluating software project proposals. *Int. J. Quality Engineering and Technology*, 6(2), 93–114.
49. Votto, R., Lee Ho, L., & Berssaneti, F. (2020). Applying and Assessing Performance of Earned Duration Management Control Charts for EPC Project Duration Monitoring. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 146(3). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001765](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001765)
50. Waytz, A., Hershfield, H. E., & Tamir, D. I. (2015). Mental simulation and meaning in life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 108(2), 336–355. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038322>
51. Wirtz, B., Pistoia, A., Ullrich, S., & Göttel, V. (2016). Business Models: Origin, Development and Future Research Perspectives. *Long Range Planning*, 49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2015.04.001>
52. Zadeh, M. T., & Kashef, R. (2022). The Impact of IT Projects Complexity on Cost Overrun and Schedule Delays.

53. Zakia, Z., Safriani, M., Radianica, N., & Faisi Ikhwal, M. (2022). Economic Feasibility Study on The Development of Irrigation Channels. *International Journal of Engineering, Science & Information Technology (IJESTY)*. <https://doi.org/10.52088/ijesty.v1i1.217>