

testing subsets using a 9 : 1. ratio. Subsequently, the classes within both the training and testing data are separated into two sets: x , which includes the classes that the model will learn to classify, and y , which represents the target classes. The model is trained and tested using the same prepared data as the BK method, with a reweighting mechanism applied to each misclassified sample during each iteration. This approach boosted the base classifier by ensuring the model focuses more on learning from the misclassified samples in each iteration. The results of these experiments are presented in Table II.

TABLE II
CONFUSION MATRIX FOR THE BN METHOD

		Predicted Values		
		Normal	Short	Very Short
Actual Values	Normal	14899	0	0
	Short	3753	9832	0
	Very Short	2209	0	76

E. Accuracy Comparison

The methods that are used to classify the stunting dataset perform differently. Here are the classification results between BK and BN.

TABLE III
ACCURACY AND F-1 SCORE FOR COMPARISON

Method	Accuracy	F-1 Score	Macro Avg
BK	98.62%	97.44%	
BN	80.62%	57.91%	

Table III demonstrates that although the accuracy of the BN method is not as high as that of the BK method, the classification performance of the BN method surpasses that reported in previous research[7], achieving an accuracy exceeding 80%. Furthermore, the F-1 score macro average of the BK method is nearly identical to that of the BK method in previous research, despite the preprocessing results in this study being significantly more imbalanced compared to those in earlier work[2]. These findings indicate that the boosting technique has effectively enhanced both the KNN and Naïve Bayes methods, despite the unsatisfactory results of the preprocessing step.

IV. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that the BK and BN methods yield different results. As shown in Table III, the accuracy of the BN method is lower than that of the BK method. However, the BN method exhibits a significant improvement in their accuracy compared to previous studies, indicating enhanced performance overall. Table III further illustrates the comparison between the BK and BN models. The BK model, which employs AdaBoost to enhance KNN as the base classifier, achieves an accuracy of 98.62% and an F-1 score of 97.44%. Meanwhile, the BN model, which uses AdaBoost to boost Naïve Bayes as the base classifier, achieves an accuracy of 80.62% and an F-1 score of 57.91%. Therefore, the BK and BN have succeeded in boosting the performance of the original KNN and Naïve Bayes method. Future work should focus on further balancing the training and testing data

prior to implementing the BN method, as this is expected to enhance both the F-1 score and the accuracy of the model. Additionally, this research concludes that the BK method is superior for classifying stunting data among toddlers.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Almomany, W. R. Ayyad, and A. Jarrah. Optimized implementation of an improved knn classification algorithm using intel fpga platform: Covid-19 case study. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 34(6):3815–3827, 2022.
- [2] M. G. Daffa and P. H. Gunawan. Stunting classification analysis for toddlers in bojongsong: A data-driven approach. In *2024 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering and Information Technology (ICoSEIT)*, pages 42–46, Bandung, Indonesia, 2024.
- [3] R. Devika, S. V. Avilala, and V. Subramaniaswamy. Comparative study of classifier for chronic kidney disease prediction using naive bayes, knn and random forest. In *2019 3rd International conference on computing methodologies and communication (ICCMC)*, pages 679–684. IEEE, 2019.
- [4] C. Fannany, P. H. Gunawan, and N. Aquarini. Machine learning classification analysis for proactive prevention of child stunting in bojongsong: A comparative study. In *Proceedings of the Conference*, volume 4.1, pages 1–5, 2024.
- [5] Y. Freund and R. E. Schapire. A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting. *Journal of computer and system sciences*, 55(1):119–139, 1997.
- [6] G. Haixiang, L. Yijing, L. Yanan, L. Xiao, and L. Jinling. Bpso- adaboost-knn ensemble learning algorithm for multi-class imbalanced data classification. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 49:176–193, 2016.
- [7] V. Herliansyah, R. Latuconsina, and A. Dinimaharawati. Prediksi stunting pada balita dengan menggunakan algoritma klasifikasi naïve bayes. *eProceedings of Engineering*, 8(5), 2021.
- [8] T. R. Hoens and N. V. Chawla. *Imbalanced Datasets: From Sampling to Classifiers*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2013.
- [9] H. Janawisuta, P. H. Gunawan, and N. Indwiarti. Early detection of stunting in indonesian toddlers: A machine learning approach. In *Proceedings of the Conference*, volume 2, pages 12–16, 2024.
- [10] G. A. F. Khansa and P. H. Gunawan. Predicting stunting in toddlers using knn and naïve bayes methods. In *Proceedings of the Conference*, volume 3, pages 17–21, 2024.
- [11] A. Pizzo, P. Teyssere, and L. Vu-Hoang. Boosted gaussian bayes classifier and its application in bank credit scoring. *Journal of Advanced Engineering and Computation*, 2(2):131–138, 2018.
- [12] I. W. Pranata, R. A. Yuniawati, N. A. Robbika, G. H. Permadi, M. N. Anwar, R. A. D. E. Putri, H. U. Wusqo, S. S. Arsyie, N. Novel, and S. M. Fransisca. Prevention of stunting through improving maternal parenting and early detection of pregnancy risk factors. *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat Bestari*, 1(9):1025–1034, 2022.

- [13] K. Rahmadhita. Permasalahan stunting dan pencegahannya. *Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan Sandi Husada*, 11(1):225–229, 2020.
- [14] A. T. A. Sibuea, P. H. Gunawan, and N. Indwiarti. Classifying stunting status in toddlers using k-nearest neighbor and logistic regression analysis. In *Proceedings of the Conference*, volume 216, pages 6–11, 2024.
- [15] A. S. M. Sohail and P. Bhattacharya. Classification of facial expressions using k-nearest neighbor classifier. In *Computer Vision/Computer Graphics Collaboration Techniques: Third International Conference, MIRAGE 2007*, pages 555–566. Springer, 2007.
- [16] M. A. U. H. Tahir, S. Asghar, A. Manzoor, and M. A. Noor. A classification model for class imbalance dataset using genetic programming. *IEEE Access*, 7:71013–71037, 2019.