

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

This research demonstrated that the U-Net model is capable of efficient and precise semantic segmentation of various land cover types using an aerial imagery dataset. Testing under different scenarios—such as integrating lower-quality secondary datasets, applying data augmentation techniques, and using the SGD optimizer revealed its adaptability for environmental monitoring. However, trade-offs were observed: while data augmentation improved segmentation accuracy, it increased computational costs, and the inclusion of lower-quality datasets occasionally reduced performance for certain classes. The use of the SGD optimizer highlighted the need for precise hyperparameter tuning to maintain accuracy.

Despite these limitations, the results confirm U-Net's effectiveness in tasks like land cover mapping for carbon stock and GHG emission assessments. Future work should explore advanced datasets like LiDAR or hyperspectral imagery to enhance model generalizability and consider real-time applications to evaluate its practicality in dynamic environmental contexts.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. U. Gilbert E. Metcalf, “On the Economics of a Carbon Tax for the United States,” *Brookings Pap. Econ. Act.*, p. 60, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/On-the-Economics-of-a-Carbon-Tax-for-the-United-States.pdf>
- [2] F. Muhamad Iqbal and N. Ruhaeni, “Pengaturan Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca Berdasarkan Protokol Kyoto Dan Implementasinya Di Indonesia,” *J. Din. Glob.*, vol. 7, no. 02, pp. 223–244, 2022, doi: 10.36859/jdg.v7i02.1071.
- [3] I. A. P. Girsang, “Konsekuensi Hukum Bagi Indonesia Tentang Penurunan Emisi Gas Rumah Kaca Pasca Ratifikasi Paris Agreement,” *Gastron. ecuatoriana y Tur. local.*, no. 69, pp. 5–24, 2018.
- [4] N. Navab, J. Hornegger, W. M. Wells, and A. F. Frangi, “Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention - MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference Munich, Germany, October 5–9, 2015 proceedings, part III,” *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. (including Subser. Lect. Notes Artif. Intell. Lect. Notes Bioinformatics)*, vol. 9351, no. Cvd, pp. 12–20, 2015, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4.
- [5] Z. Zhang *et al.*, “Remote Sensing Image Scene Classification in Hybrid Classical–Quantum Transferring CNN with Small Samples,” *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 18, 2023, doi: 10.3390/s23188010.
- [6] M. Sanad, “Image Classification Using CNN (Convolutional Neural Networks),” [analyticsvidhya.com](https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2020/02/learn-image-classification-cnn-convolutional-neural-networks-3-datasets/). [Online]. Available: <https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2020/02/learn-image-classification-cnn-convolutional-neural-networks-3-datasets/>
- [7] W. Boonpook *et al.*, “Deep Learning Semantic Segmentation for Land Use and Land Cover Types Using Landsat 8 Imagery,” *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Information*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.3390/ijgi12010014.
- [8] W. Weng and X. Zhu, “U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 16591–16603, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3053408.
- [9] M. Zhang *et al.*, “NAS-HRIS: Automatic design and architecture search of neural network for semantic segmentation in remote sensing images,” *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 20, no. 18, pp. 1–15, 2020, doi: 10.3390/s20185292.
- [10] S. Aldiansyah and R. A. Saputra, “Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithms for Land Use and Land Cover Analysis Using Google Earth Engine (Case Study: Wanggu Watershed),” *Int. J. Remote Sens. Earth Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 2, p. 197, 2023, doi: 10.30536/j.ijreses.2022.v19.a3803.
- [11] Y. A. Bununu, A. Bello, and A. Ahmed, “Land cover, land use, climate change and food security,” *Sustain. Earth Rev.*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1186/s42055-023-00065-4.
- [12] A. Boguszewski, Adrian and Batorski, Dominik and Ziembajkowska, Natalia and Dziedzic, Tomasz and Zambrzycka, “LandCover.ai: Dataset for Automatic Mapping of Buildings, Woodlands, Water and Roads from Aerial Imagery,” Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. [Online]. Available: <https://landcover.ai.linuxpolska.com/>
- [13] B. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, “Pengukuran dan Perhitungan Cadangan Karbon- Pengukuran Lapangan untuk Penaksiran Cadangan Karbon Berbasis Lahan (land-based carbon accounting),” *Badan Standarisasi Nas.*, vol. SNI 7724:2, 2019.
- [14] S. Degadwala, U. Chakraborty, S. Saha, H. Biswas, and D. Vyas, “EPNet: Efficient patch-based deep network for real-time semantic segmentation,” *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Intell. Sustain. Syst. ICSS 2020*, no. December, pp. 611–615, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ICSS49785.2020.9316079.
- [15] A. J. Rindengan and M. Mananohas, “Perancangan Sistem Penentuan Tingkat Kesegaran Ikan Cakalang Menggunakan Metode Curve Fitting Berbasis Citra Digital Mata Ikan,” *J. Ilm. Sains*, vol. 17, no. 2, p. 161, 2017, doi: 10.35799/jis.17.2.2017.18128.
- [16] Santi, “Mengubah Citra Berwarna Menjadi Gray?Scale dan Citra biner Rina,” *Teknol. Inf. Din.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 14–19, 2011.
- [17] Purwono and I. S. Mangkunegara, “Evaluation of Stochastic Gradient Descent Optimizer on U-Net Architecture for Brain Tumor Segmentation,” *Int. J. Robot. Control Syst.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 588–598, 2023, doi: 10.31763/ijres.v3i3.1104.
- [18] M. Yunus, “Perbandingan Metode-Metode Edge Detection Untuk Proses Segmentasi Citra Digital,” *J. Teknol. Inf.*, pp. 146–160, 2012, doi: 10.36382/jti-ki.v3i2.1110.
- [19] I. Setiawan, W. Dewanta, H. A. Nugroho, and H. Supriyono, “Pengolah Citra Dengan Metode Thresholding Dengan Matlab R2014A,” *J. Media Infotama*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2019, doi: 10.37676/jmi.v15i2.868.
- [20] B. Cheng, R. Girshick, P. Dollár, A. C. Berg, and A. Kirillov, “Boundary IoU: Improving object-centric image segmentation evaluation,” *Proc. IEEE Comput. Soc. Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, pp. 15329–15337, 2021, doi: 10.1109/CVPR46437.2021.01508.
- [21] S. Jadon, “A survey of loss functions for semantic segmentation,” *2020 IEEE Conf. Comput. Intell. Bioinforma. Comput. Biol. CIBCB 2020*, 2020, doi: 10.1109/CIBCB48159.2020.9277638.
- [22] M. Siam, M. Gamal, M. Abdel-Razek, S. Yogamani, and M. Jagersand, “RTSeg: Real-Time Semantic Segmentation Comparative Study,” *Proc. - Int. Conf. Image Process. ICIP*, no. 1, pp. 1603–1607, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ICIP.2018.8451495.