

---

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] K. Sachdev and M. K. Gupta. A comprehensive review of feature based methods for drug target interaction prediction. *J. Biomed. Inform.*, 93:103159, May 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103159.
- [2] Y. Zhang, Y. Hu, N. Han, A. Yang, X. Liu, and H. Cai. A survey of drug-target interaction and affinity prediction methods via graph neural networks. *Comput. Biol. Med.*, 163:107136, September 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.107136.
- [3] A. Suruliandi, T. Idhaya, and S. P. Raja. Drug target interaction prediction using machine learning techniques – a review. *Int. J. Interact. Multimed. Artif. Intell.*, 8(6):86, 2024. doi: 10.9781/ijimai.2022.11.002.
- [4] H. Bhargava, A. Sharma, and P. Suravajhala. Chemogenomic approaches for revealing drug target interactions in drug discovery. *Curr. Genomics*, 22(5):328–338, December 2021. doi: 10.2174/1389202922666210920125800.
- [5] Z. Li et al. In silico prediction of drug-target interaction networks based on drug chemical structure and protein sequences. *Sci. Rep.*, 7(1):11174, September 2017. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-10724-0.
- [6] Z. Shi and J. Li. Drug-target interaction prediction with weighted bayesian ranking. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Bioinformatics*, pages 19–24, Tianjin, China, September 2018. ACM. doi: 10.1145/3278198.3278210.
- [7] T. Pahikkala et al. Toward more realistic drug-target interaction predictions. *Brief. Bioinform.*, 16(2):325–337, March 2015. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbu010.
- [8] T. He, M. Heidemeyer, F. Ban, A. Cherkasov, and M. Ester. Simboost: a read-across approach for predicting drug–target binding affinities using gradient boosting machines. *J. Cheminformatics*, 9(1):24, December 2017. doi: 10.1186/s13321-017-0209-z.
- [9] I. Lee, J. Keum, and H. Nam. Deepconv-dti: Prediction of drug-target interactions via deep learning with convolution on protein sequences. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, 15(6): e1007129, June 2019. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007129.
- [10] C. Chen, H. Shi, Y. Han, Z. Jiang, X. Cui, and B. Yu. DNN-DTIs: improved drug-target interactions prediction using XGBoost feature selection and deep neural network. *Bioinformatics*, August 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.11.247437. Preprint.
- [11] N. R. C. Monteiro, B. Ribeiro, and J. P. Arrais. Drug-target interaction prediction: End-to-end deep learning approach. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Bi-*

- ology and Bioinformatics*, 18(6):2364–2374, November 2021. doi: 10.1109/TCBB.2020.2977335.
- [12] S. M. Hasan Mahmud, W. Chen, H. Jahan, B. Dai, S. U. Din, and A. M. Dzisoo. Deep-action: A deep learning-based method for predicting novel drug-target interactions. *Anal. Biochem.*, 610:113978, December 2020. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2020.113978.
- [13] Y.-B. Wang, Z.-H. You, S. Yang, H.-C. Yi, Z.-H. Chen, and K. Zheng. A deep learning-based method for drug-target interaction prediction based on long short-term memory neural network. *BMC Med. Inform. Decis. Mak.*, 20(S2):49, March 2020. doi: 10.1186/s12911-020-1052-0.
- [14] H. Öztürk, A. Özgür, and E. Ozkirimli. Deepdta: deep drug–target binding affinity prediction. *Bioinformatics*, 34(17):i821–i829, September 2018. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty593.
- [15] H. Öztürk, E. Ozkirimli, and A. Özgür. Widedta: prediction of drug-target binding affinity. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.04166, February 2019. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.04166>.
- [16] L. Deng, Y. Zeng, H. Liu, Z. Liu, and X. Liu. Deepmhadta: Prediction of drug-target binding affinity using multi-head self-attention and convolutional neural network. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.*, 44(5):2287–2299, May 2022. doi: 10.3390/cimb44050155.
- [17] Y. Pu, J. Li, J. Tang, and F. Guo. Deepfusiondta: Drug-target binding affinity prediction with information fusion and hybrid deep-learning ensemble model. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform.*, 19(5):2760–2769, September 2022. doi: 10.1109/TCBB.2021.3103966.
- [18] A. Ghimire, H. Tayara, Z. Xuan, and K. T. Chong. Csatdta: Prediction of drug–target binding affinity using convolution model with self-attention. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 23(15):8453, July 2022. doi: 10.3390/ijms23158453.
- [19] S. D’Souza, K. V. Prema, S. Balaji, and R. Shah. Deep learning-based modeling of drug–target interaction prediction incorporating binding site information of proteins. *Interdiscip. Sci. Comput. Life Sci.*, 15(2):306–315, June 2023. doi: 10.1007/s12539-023-00557-z.
- [20] Q. Zhao, F. Xiao, M. Yang, Y. Li, and J. Wang. Attentiondta: prediction of drug–target binding affinity using attention model. In *2019 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM)*, pages 64–69, San Diego, CA, USA, November 2019. IEEE. doi: 10.1109/BIBM47256.2019.8983125.
- [21] K. Abbasi, P. Razzaghi, A. Poso, M. Amanlou, J. B. Ghasemi, and A. Masoudi-Nejad. Deepcdta: deep cross-domain compound–protein affinity prediction through lstm and

- convolutional neural networks. *Bioinformatics*, 36(17):4633–4642, November 2020. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa544.
- [22] Q. Zhao, G. Duan, M. Yang, Z. Cheng, Y. Li, and J. Wang. Attentiondta: Drug–target binding affinity prediction by sequence-based deep learning with attention mechanism. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform.*, 20(2):852–863, March 2023. doi: 10.1109/TCBB.2022.3170365.
- [23] T. Nguyen, H. Le, T. P. Quinn, T. Nguyen, T. D. Le, and S. Venkatesh. Graphdta: predicting drug–target binding affinity with graph neural networks. *Bioinformatics*, 37(8):1140–1147, May 2021. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa921.
- [24] S. Wang et al. Msgnn-dta: Multi-scale topological feature fusion based on graph neural networks for drug–target binding affinity prediction. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 24(9): 8326, May 2023. doi: 10.3390/ijms24098326.
- [25] H. Zhai, H. Hou, J. Luo, X. Liu, Z. Wu, and J. Wang. Dgdta: dynamic graph attention network for predicting drug–target binding affinity. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 24(1):367, September 2023. doi: 10.1186/s12859-023-05497-5.
- [26] Y. Liang, S. Jiang, M. Gao, F. Jia, Z. Wu, and Z. Lyu. G lstm-dta: Application of prediction improvement model based on gnn and lstm. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, 2219(1): 012008, April 2022. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2219/1/012008.
- [27] S. Brody, U. Alon, and E. Yahav. How attentive are graph attention networks?, January 2022. Accessed: Nov. 11, 2023. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.14491>.
- [28] H. Yu, W.-X. Xu, T. Tan, Z. Liu, and J.-Y. Shi. Prediction of drug–target binding affinity based on multi-scale feature fusion. *Comput. Biol. Med.*, 178:108699, August 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2024.108699.
- [29] A. Mahdaddi, S. Meshoul, and M. Belguidoum. Ea-based hyperparameter optimization of hybrid deep learning models for effective drug-target interactions prediction. *Expert Syst. Appl.*, 185:115525, December 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115525.
- [30] B. Khemani, S. Patil, K. Kotecha, and S. Tanwar. A review of graph neural networks: concepts, architectures, techniques, challenges, datasets, applications, and future directions. *J. Big Data*, 11(1):18, January 2024. doi: 10.1186/s40537-023-00876-4.
- [31] M. Gori, G. Monfardini, and F. Scarselli. A new model for learning in graph domains. In *Proceedings. 2005 IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, 2005.*, pages 729–734, Montreal, Que., Canada, 2005. IEEE. doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2005.1555942.

- [32] F. Scarselli, M. Gori, Ah Chung Tsoi, M. Hagenbuchner, and G. Monfardini. The graph neural network model. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.*, 20(1):61–80, January 2009. doi: 10.1109/TNN.2008.2005605.
- [33] T. N. Kipf and M. Welling. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks, February 2017. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2024. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02907>.
- [34] P. Veličković, G. Cucurull, A. Casanova, A. Romero, P. Liò, and Y. Bengio. Graph attention networks, February 2018. Accessed: Jan. 17, 2024. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10903>.
- [35] M. Schuster and K. K. Paliwal. Bidirectional recurrent neural networks. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 45(11):2673–2681, November 1997. doi: 10.1109/78.650093.
- [36] D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton, and R. J. Williams. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Nature*, 323(6088):533–536, October 1986. doi: 10.1038/323533a0.
- [37] I. D. Mienye, T. G. Swart, and G. Obaido. Recurrent neural networks: A comprehensive review of architectures, variants, and applications. *Information*, 15(9):517, August 2024. doi: 10.3390/info15090517.
- [38] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. *Neural Comput.*, 9(8):1735–1780, November 1997. doi: 10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735.
- [39] G. Van Houdt, C. Mosquera, and G. Nápoles. A review on the long short-term memory model. *Artif. Intell. Rev.*, 53(8):5929–5955, December 2020. doi: 10.1007/s10462-020-09838-1.
- [40] Z. Niu, G. Zhong, and H. Yu. A review on the attention mechanism of deep learning. *Neurocomputing*, 452:48–62, September 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2021.03.091.
- [41] D. Bahdanau, K. Cho, and Y. Bengio. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate, September 2014. Accessed: Nov. 20, 2024. Available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0473>.
- [42] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *NIPS'17: Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, December 2017. Available at: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/3295222.3295349>.
- [43] M. Gönen and G. Heller. Concordance probability and discriminatory power in proportional hazards regression. *Biometrika*, 92(4):965–970, December 2005. doi: 10.1093/biomet/92.4.965.

- [44] K. Roy, P. Chakraborty, I. Mitra, P. K. Ojha, S. Kar, and R. N. Das. Some case studies on application of ‘r<sub>m</sub><sup>2</sup>’ metrics for judging quality of quantitative structure–activity relationship predictions: Emphasis on scaling of response data. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 34(12):1071–1082, May 2013. doi: 10.1002/jcc.23231.
- [45] M. I. Davis et al. Comprehensive analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 29(11):1046–1051, November 2011. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1990.
- [46] J. Tang et al. Making sense of large-scale kinase inhibitor bioactivity data sets: A comparative and integrative analysis. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 54(3):735–743, March 2014. doi: 10.1021/ci400709d.
- [47] Bharath Ramsundar, Peter Eastman, Patrick Walters, and Vijay Pande. *Deep Learning for the Life Sciences: Applying Deep Learning to Genomics, Microscopy, Drug Discovery and More*. O’Reilly Media, Sebastopol, CA, first edition edition, 2019.
- [48] K. Xu, W. Hu, J. Leskovec, and S. Jegelka. How powerful are graph neural networks? *arXiv*, February 2019. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.00826>. Accessed: Jan. 19, 2024.
- [49] Y. LeCun et al. Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. *Neural Comput.*, 1(4):541–551, December 1989. doi: 10.1162/neco.1989.1.4.541.