
BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Social Media Usage & Growth Statistics. URL <https://backlinko.com/social-media-users>.
- [2] Larry J. Thomas v. State of Indiana (mem. dec.). URL <https://law.justia.com/cases/indiana/court-of-appeals/2019/18a-cr-1714.html>.
- [3] Vic Ryckaert. Indianapolis man gets 55 years in prison in slaying set up via Offer Up app, June 2018. URL <https://www.indystar.com/story/news/crime/2018/06/29/cellphone-sale-turns-deadly-indianapolis-man-sentenced-murder/745379002/>.
- [4] B. Basumatary and H. K. Kalita. Social Media Forensics - A Holistic Review. In *Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Sustain. Glob. Dev., INDIACom*, pages 590–597. IEEE, 2022. ISBN 978-938054444-1 (ISBN). doi: 10.23919/INDIACom54597.2022.9763129. URL <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85130042090&doi=10.23919%2fINDIACom54597.2022.9763129&partnerID=40&md5=32f54b14874a283310fc2c9bc41997ee>. Journal Abbreviation: *Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Sustain. Glob. Dev., INDIACom*.
- [5] Eldira Kalemi and Sule Yildirim-Yayilgan. Ontologies for Social Media Digital Evidence. *International Journal of Computer, Electrical, Automation, Control and Information Engineering*, 10(2):324–329, 2016. URL scholar.waset.org/1999.4/10003889.
- [6] O. Elezaj, S.Y. Yayilgan, and E. Kalemi. Criminal Network Community Detection in Social Media Forensics. volume 1382, pages 371–383, 2021. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-71711-7_31. URL https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85103516631&doi=10.1007%2f978-3-030-71711-7_31&partnerID=40&md5=014a64219e3f43dfd860688e93967548.
- [7] H. Arshad, A. Jantan, G.K. Hoon, and A.S. Butt. A multilayered semantic framework for integrated forensic acquisition on social media. *Digital Investigation*, 29:147–158, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.diin.2019.04.002. URL <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85064495652&doi=10.1016%2fj.diin.2019.04.002&partnerID=40&md5=d3115f1fbef580a0178109cbcec82356>.
- [8] Ali Alshumrani, Nathan Clarke, and Bogdan Ghita. A Unified Forensics Analysis Approach to Digital Investigation. *International Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security*, 18(1):466–475, February 2023. ISSN 2048-9889, 2048-9870. doi: 10.34190/iccws.18.1.972. URL <https://papers.academic-conferences.org/index.php/iccws/article/view/972>.

- [9] E. Kalemi, S. Yildirim-Yayilgan, E. Domnori, and O. Elezaj. SMOnt: An ontology for crime solving through social media. *International Journal of Metadata, Semantics and Ontologies*, 12(2-3):71–81, 2017. doi: 10.1504/IJMSO.2017.090756. URL <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85060150197&doi=10.1504%2fIJMSO.2017.090756&partnerID=40&md5=7cfe441f627e300e5063a3e83e54a37a>.
- [10] Anne David. A Two-Stage Model for Social Network Investigations in Digital Forensics. *The Journal of Digital Forensics, Security and Law*, 2020. ISSN 15587223. doi: 10.15394/jdfsl.2020.1667. URL <https://commons.erau.edu/jdfsl/vol115/iss2/1/>.
- [11] Kevin Klaus Gomez Buquerin, Christopher Corbett, and Hans-Joachim Hof. [A generalized approach to automotive forensics. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, 36:301111, April 2021. ISSN 26662817. doi: 10.1016/j.fsidi.2021.301111. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2666281721000056>.
- [12] Martin Morgenstern, Johannes Fähndrich, and Wilfried Honekamp. Ontology in the Digital Forensics Domain: A Scoping Review. 2022. ISSN 1617-5468. doi: 10.18420/INF2022_05. URL <http://dl.gi.de/handle/20.500.12116/39550>. ISBN: 9783885797203 Publisher: Gesellschaft für Informatik, Bonn.
- [13] I Made Putrama and Péter Martinek. Heterogeneous data integration: Challenges and opportunities. *Data in Brief*, 56:110853, October 2024. ISSN 23523409. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2024.110853. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2352340924008175>.
- [14] K Kent, S Chevalier, T Grance, and H Dang. Guide to integrating forensic techniques into incident response. Technical Report NIST SP 800-86, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 2006. URL <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/Legacy/SP/nistspecialpublication800-86.pdf>. Edition: 0.
- [15] Reza Montasari, Richard Hill, Simon Parkinson, Pekka Peltola, Amin Hosseinian-Far, and Alireza Daneshkhah. Digital forensics: Challenges and opportunities for future studies. *International Journal of Organizational and Collective Intelligence*, 10, 01 2020. doi: 10.4018/IJOIC.2020040103.
- [16] Ashleigh Powell and Cydnee Haynes. *Social Media Data in Digital Forensics Investigations*, pages 281–303. 01 2020. ISBN 978-3-030-23546-8. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23547-5_14.
- [17] Khushi Gupta, Damilola Oladimeji, Cihan Varol, Amar Rasheed, and Narasimha Shahshidhar. A Comprehensive Survey on Artifact Recovery from Social Media Platforms: Approaches and Future Research Directions. *Information*, 14(12):629, November 2023. ISSN 2078-2489. doi: 10.3390/info14120629. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/14/12/629>.

-
- [18] Lisa Ehrlinger and Wolfram Wöß. Towards a definition of knowledge graphs. 09 2016.
- [19] Ahlem Chérifa Khadir, Hassina Aliane, and Ahmed Guessoum. Ontology learning: Grand tour and challenges. *Computer Science Review*, 39:100339, February 2021. ISSN 15740137. doi: 10.1016/j.cosrev.2020.100339. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1574013720304391>.
- [20] Thomas R. Gruber. A translation approach to portable ontology specifications. *Knowledge Acquisition*, 5(2):199–220, June 1993. ISSN 10428143. doi: 10.1006/knac.1993.1008. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1042814383710083>.
- [21] Stephan Grimm, Andreas Abecker, Johanna Völker, and Rudi Studer. Ontologies and the Semantic Web. In John Domingue, Dieter Fensel, and James A. Hendler, editors, *Handbook of Semantic Web Technologies*, pages 507–579. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011. ISBN 978-3-540-92912-3 978-3-540-92913-0. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-92913-0_13. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-92913-0_13.
- [22] Dominik Tomaszuk and David Hyland-Wood. RDF 1.1: Knowledge Representation and Data Integration Language for the Web. *Symmetry*, 12(1):84, January 2020. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym12010084. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/12/1/84>.
- [23] Aimilia Magkanaraki, Sofia Alexaki, Vassilis Christophides, and Dimitris Plexousakis. Benchmarking RDF Schemas for the Semantic Web. In Gerhard Goos, Juris Hartmanis, Jan Van Leeuwen, Ian Horrocks, and James Hendler, editors, *The Semantic Web — ISWC 2002*, volume 2342, pages 132–146. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002. ISBN 978-3-540-43760-4 978-3-540-48005-1. doi: 10.1007/3-540-48005-6_12. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/3-540-48005-6_12. Series Title: Lecture Notes in Computer Science.
- [24] Amit Singhal. Introducing the Knowledge Graph: things, not strings, May 2012. URL <https://blog.google/products/search/introducing-knowledge-graph-things-not/>.
- [25] Sandeepak Bhandari and Vacius Jusas. An Ontology Based on the Timeline of Log2timeline and Psort Using Abstraction Approach in Digital Forensics. *Symmetry*, 12(4):642, April 2020. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym12040642. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/12/4/642>.
- [26] S. Grigaliunas, R. Bruzgiene, and A. Venckauskas. Ontology-Driven Digital Profiling for Identification and Linking Evidence Across Social Media Platform. *IEEE Access*, 11:111672–111691, 2023. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.
-

3322162. URL <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85174854445&doi=10.1109%2fACCESS.2023.3322162&partnerID=40&md5=1c5efc69225e90a9415abdc179a21c90>.
- [27] Eoghan Casey. Standardization of forming and expressing preliminary evaluative opinions on digital evidence. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, 32:200888, March 2020. ISSN 26662817. doi: 10.1016/j.fsidi.2019.200888. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1742287619303147>.
- [28] Kyriacos E. Pavlou and Richard T. Snodgrass. Generalizing database forensics. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, 38(2):1–43, June 2013. ISSN 0362-5915, 1557-4644. doi: 10.1145/2487259.2487264. URL <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2487259.2487264>.
- [29] Humaira Arshad, Aman Jantan, and Esther Omolara. Evidence collection and forensics on social networks: Research challenges and directions. *Digital Investigation*, 28:126–138, March 2019. ISSN 17422876. doi: 10.1016/j.diin.2019.02.001. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1742287618302937>.
- [30] S. Magistri, D. Baracchi, D. Shullani, A. D. Bagdanov, and A. Piva. Towards Continual Social Network Identification. In *2023 11th International Workshop on Biometrics and Forensics (IWBF)*, pages 1–6, April 2023. doi: 10.1109/IWBF57495.2023.10157835.
- [31] A. K. Mohan and D. Venkataraman. Forensic future of social media analysis using web ontology. In *Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. Commun. Syst., ICACCS*, pages 1–6. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., 2017. ISBN 978-150904559-4 (ISBN). doi: 10.1109/ICACCS.2017.8014682. URL <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85030249349&doi=10.1109%2fICACCS.2017.8014682&partnerID=40&md5=64e1b0ce74ea1fdcf3b5df64f5e1d373>. Journal Abbreviation: Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. Commun. Syst., ICACCS.
- [32] H. Arshad, A. Jantan, G.K. Hoon, and I.O. Abiodun. Formal knowledge model for online social network forensics. *Computers & security*, 89:101675, 2020. ISSN 01674048 (ISSN). doi: 10.1016/j.cose.2019.101675. URL <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85075292801&doi=10.1016%2fj.cose.2019.101675&partnerID=40&md5=62fa0e67898aa0f50343fa8254e8fca9>. Publisher: Elsevier.
- [33] Ibnu Rohan Tuharea, Ahmad Luthfi, and Erika Ramadani. Social Media Metadata Forensic Ontology Model. *Mobile and Forensics*, 5(2):1–14, September 2023. ISSN 2714-6685, 2656-6257. doi: 10.12928/mf.v5i2.8937. URL <http://journal2.uad.ac.id/index.php/mf/article/view/8937>.

- [34] Daniel Faria, Emanuel Santos, Booma Sowkarthiga Balasubramani, Marta C Silva, Francisco M Couto, and Catia Pesquita. Agreementmakerlight. *Semantic Web*, 16(2):SW-233304, 2025. doi: 10.3233/SW-233304. URL <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.3233/SW-233304>.
- [35] Birte Glimm, Ian Horrocks, Boris Motik, Giorgos Stoilos, and Zhe Wang. HerMiT: An OWL 2 Reasoner. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 53(3):245–269, October 2014. ISSN 1573-0670. doi: 10.1007/s10817-014-9305-1. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10817-014-9305-1>.
- [36] Daniel Faria, Catia Pesquita, Emanuel Santos, Matteo Palmonari, Isabel F. Cruz, and Francisco M. Couto. The agreementmakerlight ontology matching system. In Robert Meersman, Hervé Panetto, Tharam Dillon, Johann Eder, Zohra Bellahsene, Norbert Ritter, Pieter De Leenheer, and Deijing Dou, editors, *On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems: OTM 2013 Conferences*, pages 527–541, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-642-41030-7.
- [37] Joshua I. James, Alejandra Lopez-Fernandez, and Pavel Gladyshev. Measuring Accuracy of Automated Parsing and Categorization Tools and Processes in Digital Investigations. In Pavel Gladyshev, Andrew Marrington, and Ibrahim Baggili, editors, *Digital Forensics and Cyber Crime*, volume 132, pages 147–169. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014. ISBN 978-3-319-14288-3 978-3-319-14289-0. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-14289-0_11. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-14289-0_11. Series Title: Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering.
- [38] Jens-Petter Sandvik. Internet of Things Investigations. In André Årnes, editor, *Cyber Investigations*, pages 129–166. Wiley, 1 edition, October 2022. ISBN 978-1-119-58231-1 978-1-119-58202-1. doi: 10.1002/9781119582021.ch6. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781119582021.ch6>.
- [39] Vacius Jusas, Darius Birvinskas, and Elvar Gahramanov. Methods and tools of digital triage in forensic context: Survey and future directions. *Symmetry*, 9(4), 2017. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym9040049. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/9/4/49>.