

# CONTENTS

<b>APPROVAL</b>	ii
<b>SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>DEDICATION</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	vii
<b>PREFACE</b>	viii
<b>CONTENTS</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF TERMS</b>	xvii
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Research Problem . . . . .	2
1.3 Research Objectives . . . . .	2
1.4 Research Questions . . . . .	3
1.5 Research Scope . . . . .	3
1.6 Research Approach . . . . .	4
1.7 Ethical Considerations . . . . .	5
1.8 Research Contributions . . . . .	5
1.9 Thesis Structure . . . . .	5
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	8
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	8
2.2 Cybersecurity Threat Landscape in the Public Sector . . . . .	8
2.3 The Role and Architecture of Security Operations Centers (SOCs) . . . . .	10
2.4 Modular and Tier-Based Models in Cybersecurity . . . . .	11
2.5 Cybersecurity Frameworks for Public-Sector SOCs . . . . .	12
2.6 Design Science Research (DSR) in Cybersecurity and Digital Forensics . . . . .	14
2.7 Research Gap Identification . . . . .	15

2.8 Chapter Summary . . . . .	15
<b>3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	17
3.2 Research Design and Methodological Approach . . . . .	17
3.3 DSR Framework and Research Stages . . . . .	18
3.3.1 Problem Explication . . . . .	18
3.3.2 Requirement Definition . . . . .	18
3.3.3 Artefact Design (SOC Model v0.1) . . . . .	19
3.3.4 Expert Validation (SOC Model v0.2) . . . . .	19
3.3.5 Demonstration (Use-Case Simulation) . . . . .	19
3.3.6 Evaluation and Refinement (Final SOC Model v1.0) . . . . .	19
3.4 Data Collection Methods . . . . .	20
3.4.1 Systematic Literature Review . . . . .	21
3.4.2 Expert Interviews . . . . .	21
3.4.3 Demonstration Observation . . . . .	21
3.4.4 Evaluation Instruments . . . . .	21
3.4.5 Instrument Summary . . . . .	21
3.5 Sampling and Participant Criteria . . . . .	22
3.5.1 Expert Validation Participants . . . . .	22
3.5.2 Demonstration and Evaluation Participants . . . . .	22
3.5.3 Consent and Ethical Compliance . . . . .	23
3.6 Data Analysis and Model Evaluation Techniques . . . . .	23
3.6.1 Literature Analysis . . . . .	23
3.6.2 Expert Feedback Analysis . . . . .	23
3.6.3 Observational Analysis . . . . .	24
3.6.4 Evaluation Analysis . . . . .	24
3.6.5 Triangulation and Rigor . . . . .	24
3.6.6 Evaluation Metrics for Research Objectives . . . . .	24
3.7 Ethical Considerations . . . . .	26
3.7.1 Informed Consent and Voluntariness . . . . .	26
3.7.2 Confidentiality and Anonymization . . . . .	26
3.7.3 Use of Institutional Data . . . . .	26
3.7.4 Ethical Oversight and Integrity . . . . .	26
3.8 Limitations of the Methodology . . . . .	27
3.8.1 Limited Sample Size . . . . .	27
3.8.2 Single Demonstration Context . . . . .	27
3.8.3 Simulation-Based Evaluation . . . . .	27
3.8.4 Framework Dependence . . . . .	27
3.8.5 Researcher Involvement . . . . .	28

---

3.9 Chapter Summary . . . . .	28
<b>4 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	29
4.2 Results of the Problem Explication Stage . . . . .	29
4.2.1 Cybersecurity Challenges in the Public Sector . . . . .	30
4.2.2 Limitations of Existing Frameworks and Maturity Models . . . . .	31
4.2.3 Problem Framing . . . . .	31
4.3 Results of the Requirements Definition Stage . . . . .	32
4.3.1 RQ1: Cybersecurity Threat Profiles in Government . . . . .	32
4.3.1.1 Article Selection and Theme Development for RQ1 . . . . .	33
4.3.1.2 Justification for the Multidimensional Thematic Framework	34
4.3.1.3 Thematic Findings and Interpretation . . . . .	35
4.3.1.4 Cyber Threat Typologies . . . . .	35
4.3.1.5 Comparative Analysis with Previous Studies and National Data . . . . .	39
4.3.1.5.1 Comparison with Humayun et al. (2020) . . . . .	39
4.3.1.5.2 Alignment with National Data from BSSN . . . . .	40
4.3.1.5.3 Synthesis . . . . .	41
4.3.1.6 Institutional and Structural Limitations . . . . .	42
4.3.1.7 Proposed Mitigation Strategies . . . . .	43
4.3.1.8 Synthesis for SOC Design . . . . .	44
4.3.2 RQ2: component for Design of an Effective SOC . . . . .	45
4.3.2.1 Article Selection and Theme Development for RQ2 . . . . .	45
4.3.2.2 Justification for the Multidimensional Thematic Framework	46
4.3.2.3 Thematic Findings and Interpretation . . . . .	48
4.3.2.4 Comparative Mapping with Existing SOC Models . . . . .	53
4.3.2.5 Synthesis of SOC Design component . . . . .	55
4.4 Artifact Design: Modular and Tier-Based SOC Model v0.1 . . . . .	56
4.4.1 Design Methodology and Rationale . . . . .	56
4.4.1.1 Threat-to-Module Mapping Based on MITRE ATT&CK .	56
4.4.1.1.1 Threat Typology Classification . . . . .	57
4.4.1.1.2 Mapping to SOC Functional Modules . . . . .	57
4.4.1.2 SOC Design component and Functional Modules from Thematic Analysis . . . . .	58
4.4.1.2.1 SOC Design components . . . . .	58
4.4.1.2.2 SOC Functional Modules . . . . .	58
4.4.1.2.3 Alignment with NIST Cybersecurity Framework . . . . .	60
4.4.1.2.4 Design Implication . . . . .	60

4.4.1.3	Regulatory and Operational Constraints in Indonesian Government Institutions . . . . .	60
4.4.2	Structure of SOC Model v0.1 . . . . .	61
4.4.2.1	Modular components . . . . .	62
4.4.2.2	Capability-Based Tiering . . . . .	62
4.4.2.3	Standards Alignment . . . . .	62
4.4.2.4	Implementation Flexibility . . . . .	62
4.4.2.5	Design Summary . . . . .	63
4.4.3	Design Principles . . . . .	63
4.4.3.1	Modularity . . . . .	64
4.4.3.2	Scalability . . . . .	64
4.4.3.3	Capability-Based Tiering . . . . .	64
4.4.3.4	Standards Alignment . . . . .	64
4.4.3.5	Technology Neutrality . . . . .	64
4.4.3.6	Delegation and Inter-agency Collaboration . . . . .	64
4.4.3.7	Proportionality . . . . .	64
4.4.4	Considerations for Storage and Outsourcing . . . . .	65
4.4.5	Synthesis and Response to RQ3 . . . . .	65
4.5	Results from Expert Validation and Refinement (SOC Model v0.2) . . . . .	66
4.5.1	Validation Method and Participants . . . . .	66
4.5.1.1	Methodology . . . . .	66
4.5.1.2	Participants . . . . .	67
4.5.2	Validation Instrument . . . . .	68
4.5.3	Results and Feedback Summary . . . . .	69
4.5.3.1	Expert Validation Outcomes . . . . .	69
4.5.3.2	Qualitative Feedback . . . . .	71
4.5.4	Implications for Refinement . . . . .	71
4.5.5	Refinement of SOC Model to Version 0.2 . . . . .	72
4.5.5.1	Functional and Structural Enhancements . . . . .	72
4.5.5.2	Tier-Based Modular Allocation . . . . .	74
4.5.5.3	Model Justification and Traceability . . . . .	75
4.5.5.4	Conclusion of Refinement SOC Model v0.2 . . . . .	75
4.6	Demonstration of the Model in a Simulated Context . . . . .	76
4.6.1	Demonstration Context . . . . .	76
4.6.2	Scenario Execution . . . . .	76
4.6.3	Observational Insights . . . . .	77
4.7	Evaluation and Finalization: SOC Model v1.0 . . . . .	78
4.7.1	Evaluation Overview . . . . .	78
4.7.2	Evaluation Instrument . . . . .	78

4.7.3	Evaluation Result . . . . .	79
4.7.4	Demonstration and Evaluation Recommendations . . . . .	80
4.7.5	Limitations of the Validation Scope and Recommendations . . . . .	80
4.7.6	Finalization: SOC Model v1.0 . . . . .	81
4.7.7	Model Interpretation Guide . . . . .	83
4.7.8	Justification of Tier Content . . . . .	84
4.7.9	Implementation Roadmap and Adoption Strategy . . . . .	88
4.7.9.1	Three-Phase Implementation Stages . . . . .	88
4.7.9.2	Scalable Adoption Strategy . . . . .	89
4.7.9.3	Policy and Institutional Enablers . . . . .	89
4.8	Artifact Evolution from v0.1 to v1.0 . . . . .	89
4.9	Comparative Evaluation of Development and Validation Approaches . . . . .	91
4.10	Evaluation Metrics Summary by Objective . . . . .	92
4.11	Chapter Summary . . . . .	93
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>95</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	95
5.2	Discussion of Key Findings . . . . .	95
5.2.1	RQ1: Cybersecurity threat profiles most significantly affect government agencies . . . . .	95
5.2.2	RQ2: Critical components required to design an Effective SOC . . . . .	96
5.2.3	RQ3: Proportionate, Modular, and Tiered SOC Model for the Indonesian Government . . . . .	96
5.3	Integration with Design Science Research (DSR) Methodology . . . . .	97
5.4	Implications of the Findings . . . . .	98
5.4.1	Theoretical Implications . . . . .	98
5.4.2	Practical Implications . . . . .	99
5.5	Limitations of the Study . . . . .	99
5.6	Post-Evaluation Expert Feedback (Google Cloud) . . . . .	100
5.7	Suggestions for Future Research . . . . .	101
5.8	Chapter Summary . . . . .	102
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Restating the Purpose of the Study . . . . .	103
6.2	Summary of Key Research Findings . . . . .	103
6.3	Contributions of the Study . . . . .	104
6.4	Significance and Implications . . . . .	105
6.5	Limitations of the Study . . . . .	105
6.6	Recommendations for Future Research . . . . .	106
6.7	Recommendations for Policy and Practice . . . . .	107

---

6.8 Final Remarks . . . . .	107
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW MATERIALS</b>	<b>128</b>
A.1 PRISMA Flow Diagram – RQ1 . . . . .	128
A.2 PRISMA Flow Diagram – RQ2 . . . . .	129
A.3 Screening and Selection Summary . . . . .	129
A.4 Quality Assessment Summary . . . . .	132
A.4.1 Quality Assessment – RQ1 . . . . .	132
A.4.2 Quality Assessment – RQ2 . . . . .	134
A.5 Final Selected Articles . . . . .	136
<b>B CODING FRAMEWORK AND THEMATIC MATRIX</b>	<b>138</b>
B.1 Cyber threat typologies – RQ1 . . . . .	138
B.2 Government Limitations – RQ1 . . . . .	140
B.3 Mitigation Strategy – RQ1 . . . . .	140
B.4 Elemen SOC – RQ2 . . . . .	141
B.5 Modul SOC – RQ2 . . . . .	142
<b>C EXPERT VALIDATION</b>	<b>143</b>
C.1 Expert Validation Instrument . . . . .	143
C.2 Expert Validation Metrics . . . . .	154
C.3 Summary of Expert Validation Participants . . . . .	154
C.4 Validation Score Results . . . . .	155
C.5 Thematic Analysis of Expert Feedback . . . . .	156
<b>D SOC DEMONSTRATION MATERIALS</b>	<b>159</b>
D.1 Demonstration Case-Study for Tier 1 . . . . .	159
D.2 Observation Form Template . . . . .	162
D.3 Filled Observation Sheet . . . . .	166
D.4 Escalation Template and Sample Playbook . . . . .	170
<b>E EVALUATION</b>	<b>174</b>
E.1 Evaluation Form Template . . . . .	174
E.2 Completed Evaluation Form by Government Leader . . . . .	177
<b>F POST-EVALUATION INDUSTRY EXPERT FEEDBACK</b>	<b>180</b>
F.1 External Commentary on SOCG v.1.0 . . . . .	180
F.2 SOCG Executive Summary Submitted for Review . . . . .	182

---