

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 HWB setup with PC and evidence HDD . . . . .	2
1.2 Layer Architecture of SATA and SAM . . . . .	3
1.3 Tableau T35U specification . . . . .	5
2.1 Write Protection Function Mapping [2] . . . . .	6
2.2 4-layers of SATA protocol and SAM . . . . .	7
2.3 Portability with Adaptability. . . . .	9
2.4 Sample coupling in directed graph representation . . . . .	12
2.5 UML 2.5 Diagram Types . . . . .	13
2.6 Sample of UML 2.5 Component Diagram . . . . .	14
2.7 Sample of UML2.5 Sequence Diagrams . . . . .	14
2.8 NIST HWB ATP [3] . . . . .	19
2.9 Sequence diagram of NTFS transaction journal: Process (a) is the start of journaling. Point (b) shows the disk’s healthy return status. . . . .	21
2.10 Prior work of HWB . . . . .	23
2.11 HWB with SATA protocol . . . . .	25
3.1 waterfall SDLC methodology . . . . .	27
3.2 Wrap the application to hardware layer with an abstract class . . . . .	28
3.3 Low -coupling Design process . . . . .	29
3.4 Design process for Write Block . . . . .	29
3.5 Sequence diagram as result of WP_bit is enabled . . . . .	32
3.6 TUSB9261DEMO board . . . . .	34
3.7 Implementation the proposed Low-coupling Application Layer . . . . .	35
3.8 ”test-hwb” CLI verification mechanism . . . . .	37
3.9 Hardware setup for accuracy and performance testing . . . . .	38
3.10 Testing flow of NIST Federated Testing . . . . .	39
3.11 HWB ATP Testing . . . . .	41
3.12 Accuracy calculation in each Case HWB-01 sequence of Fig. 3.11b . . . . .	42
4.1 Result of the SDLC . . . . .	46
4.2 Existing architecture . . . . .	47
4.3 Weighted Directed Graph representation of existing coupling metric . . . . .	49
4.4 Low coupling with Dependency Inversion in UML 2.5 Component Diagram . . . . .	50
4.5 Graph of Coupling Metrics after Dependency Inversion . . . . .	51
4.6 Proposed HWB’s read-only status in different OS after WP_bit is enabled . . . . .	54
4.7 TUSB9261 board implementation . . . . .	55
4.8 Code Structure . . . . .	55

---

4.9	Summary Federated testing of proposed HWB . . . . .	57
4.10	Sequence diagram of "Drive is not accessible" during Ntfs journaling test (a) starting point (b) HWB successfully blocked and return Command Fail status, (c) Ntfs raises event id 140 . . . . .	59
4.11	"Drive is not accessible" is disclosed . . . . .	60
4.12	Benchmarking tools fail to contaminate HDD during initialization . . . . .	60
4.13	Write Attempt Block cause failure in Benchmarking tools . . . . .	61
4.14	Histogram of performance speed . . . . .	63
A.1	Media preparation, forensic copy (imaging), verification flow . . . . .	71
A.2	SCSI command during physical imaging with FTK Imager under Windows . . . . .	72
A.3	Dual Bus and Single Bus HWB . . . . .	83
A.4	List of NIST-CFTT SCSI command, each command's LBA address, and comparison result between before and after write command being sent . . . . .	85
A.5	write buffer pattern to be written to Lba 10768 0x00 .. 0x1f0 . . . . .	85
A.6	"Test-hwb" Send the commands list in Fig. A.4 with write pattern Fig. A.5 and compare the before (a) with after write attempt or write fail (b) . . . . .	86