

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.5.1 Research Method.	5
Figure 2.1.1 FMCW Radar Architecture.	9
Figure 2.1.2 Multi-Target Detection in FMCW Radar.	9
Figure 2.1.3 LPF Output for Multi-Target Detection in FMCW Radar.	10
Figure 2.1.4 Transmitted Signal and Frequency of the SFCW Radar: (a) Waveform Shape, (b) Frequency Spectrum, and (c) Frequency versus Time Plot.	11
Figure 2.1.5 SFCW Radar Architecture.	12
Figure 3.1.1 Comparison of Previous Methods and the Proposed Method.	17
Figure 3.1.2 Proposed Method.	17
Figure 3.1.3 Concept of Tea Plant Population Estimation Using Multi-Drone Sensor.	18
Figure 3.2.1 Illustration of Layered Medium Detection.	21
Figure 3.2.2 Radar Wave Propagation Model Through Layered Media for Density Estimation.	25
Figure 3.2.3 Proposed Research Simulation Scenario.	28
Figure 3.2.4 Laboratory-Scale Experimental Workflow.	29
Figure 3.2.5 Laboratory Simulation Model.	30
Figure 3.2.6 Validation Scenario of the Impact of Density Variation on Tea Plant Population Estimation.	31
Figure 4.1.1 Data Collection Location.	34
Figure 4.1.2 Data collection with SFCW Radar.	34
Figure 4.1.3 Data collection with FMCW Radar.	35
Figure 4.2.1 Image Processing Block Diagram.	35
Figure 4.2.2 Vegetation segmentation results comparison: (a) Location 1 and (b) Location 2 showing performance of Green-based (VIGreen/ExG), Red-based (VIRed/ExR), and Blue-based (VIBlue/ExB) indices.	37
Figure 4.2.3 Vegetation segmentation results from aerial imagery over two tea plantation plots at varying flight altitudes. (a) Sample 1 at 7 m, 10 m, and 15 m, (b) Sample 2 at 7 m, 10 m, and 15 m.	39
Figure 4.3.1 Radar Output Detection Result.	41
Figure 4.3.2 Effect of Vegetation Density on Relative Permittivity.	42

Figure 4.3.3 Effect of Vegetation Density on the Estimation of Reflection Coefficient Vegetation.	42
Figure 4.3.4 Estimation of Stalk Position Through Simulation.	44
Figure 4.3.5 Simulated Impact of SNR on Reflection Coefficient Estimation.	45
Figure 4.3.6 Raw A-Scan Signal Illustrating Antenna Coupling Effects a Various Stems Density (vb) Levels.	46
Figure 4.3.7 A-Scan Signal After Free-Space Subtraction	46
Figure 4.3.8 Distribution of Vegetation Density Values (vb) and Plant Stalk Positions along The Simulated Scanning Path Used as Ground Truth for Radar Detection.	47
Figure 4.3.9 Processed B-Scan Image Revealing Layer Boundaries and Stalk Reflections.	48
Figure 4.3.10 B-Scan Signal After Free-Space Subtraction.	48
Figure 4.4.1 Comparison of Radar Signal Magnitude Response Under Conditions With and Without Plant Stalks.	49
Figure 4.4.2 Validation of magnitude differences at (a) Less Noise and (b) More Noise, comparing conditions with and without plant stalk.	50
Figure 4.4.3 Radar Response to Plant Stalks with Environmental Noise.	51
Figure 4.4.4 Radar Stalk Detection Under Different Conditions: (A) Less Noise Environment, (B) More Noise Environment.	52
Figure 4.4.5 Raw Time-Domain Radar Signals With and Without Plant Stalk.	53
Figure 4.4.6 Processed Signals After Freespace Subtraction, Revealing Target Reflection From The Plant Stalk.	53
Figure 4.4.7 B-Scan Radar Images Collected Under Different Radar Heights: (A) Radar Placed 100 and (B) 30 Cm on Vegetation.	55
Figure 4.4.8 Block Diagram of The B-Scan Reconstruction Process From Radar Data.	56
Figure 4.4.9 Filtered B-Scan Results Using Various Low-Pass Filter (LPF) with Frequency Cutoff (a) 0.3 MHz, (b) 180 MHz, (c) 500 MHz, and (d) 1 GHz	57
Figure 4.4.10 Block Diagram for Calculating The Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) Between The Original B-Scan and The Filtered B-Scan.	59
Figure 4.4.11 SSIM Results for Validation Data: (A) Location 1 and (B) Location 2.	63
Figure 4.4.12 Block Diagram of The Steps From B-Scan Processing to Tea Plant Population Estimation Using The SSIM Method.	64
Figure 4.4.13 SSIM-Based Plant Detection Results for (A) Location 1 and (B) Location 2.	64