CONTENTS

| APPROVAL PAGE | ii |
|--|-----|
| SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | V |
| PREFACE | vi |
| CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | X |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xii |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of Problem | 2 |
| 1.3 Research Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of the Research | 3 |
| 1.5 Hypothesis | 5 |
| 1.6 Research Methodology | 5 |
| 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND STUDIES | 9 |
| 2.1 Related Literature | 9 |
| 2.2 Justification for Focusing on AWS and Azure | 10 |
| 2.3 Previous Study | 11 |
| 2.4 Disaster Recovery | 14 |
| 2.5 Cloud Computing | 16 |
| 2.5.1 Cloud Computing Service Model | 17 |
| 2.6 Microsoft Azure | 17 |
| 2.6.1 Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery | 18 |
| 2.6.2 Azure Site Recovery | 20 |
| 2.7 Amazon Web Service DRaaS | 20 |
| 2.7.1 AWS Elastic Disaster Recovery (AWS EDR) | 21 |
| 2.8 Business Impact Analysis (BIA) and Risk Assessment | 22 |

| | 2.9 Quality of Service (QoS) in Cloud-Based Disaster Recovery | 22 |
|---|--|----------|
| | 2.10 Class of Service (CoS) in Cloud-Based Disaster Recovery | 23 |
| | 2.11 Business Impact Analysis (BIA) and Financial Risk Modelling | 24 |
| | 2.12 Risk Assessment Frameworks in Cloud Disaster Recovery | 25 |
| | 2.13 Cloud Requirements and Constraints for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) | 26 |
| | 2.14 Cost Analysis in Cloud-Based Disaster Recovery for SMEs | 28 |
| | 2.15 Small and Medium Enterprise Specific Requirements for Cloud-Based Disaster Recovery | 28 |
| | 2.15.1 AWS and Azure Alignment | 29 |
| | 2.15.2 Regional Context: Cloud DR Considerations for Indonesian SMEs | 30 |
| | 2.15.3 Illustrative Cases of Indonesian SMEs Adopting Cloud-Based DR | 31 |
| | 2.15.4 Prioritization of DR Threats in Indonesian SMEs: A Risk Scoring Approach | 33 |
| | 2.15.5 Risk Control Strategy Selection Based on Risk Priorities | 35 |
| 3 | SYSTEM DESIGN AND MODELS | 37 |
| | 3.1 System Model and Scenario | 39 |
| | 3.1.1 System Model | 39 |
| | 3.1.2 System Design | 42 |
| | 3.1.3 Disaster Recovery Scenarios | 46 |
| | 3.1.4 Evaluation Metrics | 46 |
| | 3.2 Experimental Scenario | 48 |
| | 3.2.1 Scenario 1: Implementing Disaster Recovery for Azure Virtual Machine using Azure Site Recovery (ASR) | 48 |
| | 3.2.2 Scenario 2: Implementing Disaster Recovery for an AWS Virtual Machine usin Elastic Disaster Recovery (EDR) | ng 50 |
| | 3.2.3 Disaster Event Testing | 53 |
| | 3.3 Data Retrieval Scenario | 54 |
| | 3.3.1 Data Integrity and Recovery Point Objective (RPO) | 54 |
| | 3.3.2 System Performance and Resource Utilization | 55 |
| | 3.3.3 Recovery Time Objective (RTO) and Recovery Point Objective (RPO) | 56 |
| | 3.3.4 Risk Analysis and Business Impact Analysis (BIA) | 61 |
| | 3.3.5 Quality of Service (QoS) Evaluation | 63 |
| | 3.3.6 Cost and Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) Evaluation | 64 |
| | 3.4 Analysis Scenario | 66 |
| | 3.4.1 Data Integrity and RPO Analysis | 66 |
| | 3.4.2 System Performance and Resource Utilization Analysis | 67 |
| | 3.4.3 Recovery Time and RTO Analysis | 67 |
| | 3.4.4 Risk Analysis and Business Impact Analysis (BIA) | 68 |

| 3.4.5 Quality of Service (QoS) Evaluation | 69 |
|--|-----|
| 3.4.6 Cost and Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) Evaluation | 69 |
| 4 RESULT AND ANALYSIS | 71 |
| 4.1 Performance Evaluation | 71 |
| 4.1.1 Experimental Design and Metrics | 71 |
| 4.1.2 Results and Comparative Analysis | 72 |
| 4.1.3 Performance Trade-Off and Interpretation | 77 |
| 4.2 Cost Efficiency Analysis | 79 |
| 4.2.1 Importance of TCO in Disaster Recovery Platform Selection | 79 |
| 4.2.2 Cost Components and Methodology | 79 |
| 4.2.3 Presentation of Cost Results | 80 |
| 4.2.4 Cost Comparison, Interpretation, and Implications for SMEs | 83 |
| 4.3 Quality of Service (QoS) Analysis for Cloud-Based Disaster Recovery | 89 |
| 4.3.1 Contextual Interpretation of QoS for Indonesian SMEs | 95 |
| 4.3.2 Cross-Analysis of RTO and QoS Delay | 96 |
| 4.4 Risk Evaluation and Business Impact Analysis of Cloud-Based DR Platforms | 98 |
| 4.4.1 Qualitative and Quantitative Risk Assessment by Failure Scenario | 98 |
| 4.4.2 VM Crash Scenario (Single VM Failure) | 102 |
| 4.4.3 Region Outage Scenario (Regional Disaster) | 102 |
| 4.4.4 Network Disruption Scenario (Connectivity Loss) | 103 |
| 4.4.5 Integrated Risk Analysis and Platform Comparison | 105 |
| 4.5 Architectural and Topological Recommendations | 107 |
| 4.5.1 Underlying Architectural Differences Between AWS and Azure | 109 |
| 4.5.2 Proposed Warm-Standby Architecture Design, Performance, & Cost Efficiency111 | |
| 4.5.3 Recommended Topology Design | 112 |
| 4.5.4 Strategic Considerations for SME Implementation | 114 |
| 4.5.5 Relevance of Cloud Specifications and Costs for SMEs | 115 |
| 4.6 Strategic DR Platform Evaluation for Indonesian SMEs | 116 |
| 4.6.1 Alignment with SME Requirements | 116 |
| 4.6.2 Platform Selection Recommendation per SME Use Case | 117 |
| 5 CONCLUSIONS | 119 |
| 5.1 Conclusions | 119 |
| 5.2 Future Work | 122 |
| REFERENCES | 123 |